Self-Reconciliation Therapy: A Framework for Embodied Awareness, Acceptance, Attunement, and Atonement

By Dr. Yulinda Renee Rahman

Abstract

Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) is a therapeutic framework designed to address trauma through an integrative process that emphasizes awareness, acceptance, attunement, and atonement within the relationship between the individual and the Self. Developed in response to the limitations of traditional talk-based therapies when addressing body-based trauma, particularly sexual trauma, SRT integrates cognitive, somatic, and relational approaches to healing. The model conceptualizes trauma not solely as a psychological phenomenon but as an experience that disrupts the relationship between mind, body, and identity. Through structured stages of awareness, acceptance, embodied attunement, and reparative integration, SRT provides a pathway toward reconciliation with the Self. This article outlines the theoretical foundations, core principles, and therapeutic processes of Self-Reconciliation Therapy while situating the model within broader trauma and somatic therapy literature.

Keywords: Self Reconciliation Therapy, BDSM, Trauma, Therapeutic BDSM, SRT

Introduction

Trauma disrupts the relationship individuals have with themselves. Survivors frequently report not only psychological distress but also a profound sense of disconnection from their bodies, identities, and internal sense of safety. Traditional therapeutic approaches often emphasize cognitive processing and narrative reconstruction; however, many trauma survivors continue to experience symptoms that persist beyond cognitive understanding. This limitation has contributed to the growing recognition that trauma recovery must also address embodied experience (van der Kolk, 2014; Levine, 2010; Ogden & Fisher, 2015).

Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) was developed as an integrative therapeutic framework designed to restore the relationship between an individual and their Self through a process of structured internal reconciliation. The model recognizes trauma as an event that fractures the relationship between the mind, body, and identity, creating internal conflict that must be addressed relationally rather than solely cognitively.

The framework originated from work exploring trauma recovery among Black women with histories of sexual trauma, a population whose experiences are shaped by the intersection of gender, race, and historical trauma (Bryant-Davis, 2007; Rahman, 2022). Historical patterns of bodily violation, systemic oppression, and cultural expectations of resilience have contributed to unique barriers in trauma recovery for this population. Self-Reconciliation Therapy was developed in part to address these complexities and to provide a framework that integrates psychological, relational, and somatic dimensions of healing.

While originally contextualized within work addressing sexual trauma among Black women, the framework is applicable more broadly to individuals experiencing body-based trauma and internal disconnection.

Conceptual Foundations of Self-Reconciliation Therapy

Within SRT, the Self refers to the integrated sense of identity that encompasses cognitive awareness, emotional experience, bodily sensation, and relational meaning. Trauma fractures this integration by creating internal contradictions between what individuals know cognitively and what their bodies continue to experience.

Trauma research increasingly recognizes this disjunction between cognitive awareness and physiological response.

Individuals may intellectually understand that they are safe while their bodies continue to respond as though threat remains present, reflecting dysregulation within the nervous system and implicit trauma memory (van der Kolk, 2014; Siegel, 2012). Self-Reconciliation Therapy therefore conceptualizes healing as a process of restoring alignment between internal awareness, emotional acceptance, embodied experience, and relational meaning.

The Four Pillars in Theory and Practice

The therapeutic process within SRT is structured around four pillars: Awareness, Acceptance, Attunement, and Atonement. Each pillar names a stage of internal reconciliation and a corresponding focus of clinical work, so the sections that follow describe each pillar first as a concept and then as practice. The process is cyclical. Individuals may revisit earlier pillars as new insights emerge or as deeper layers of trauma surface, and the pillars give clinicians a structured pathway for organizing the work. Within clinical settings, SRT centers the client's relationship with their Self as the primary site of healing, and the clinician facilitates awareness, reflection, and integration.

Awareness: The Process of Internal Data Collection

The first pillar of Self-Reconciliation Therapy involves the development of awareness. Within the framework, awareness refers to the process of observing one's internal experiences, behaviors, and relational patterns without immediate judgment or correction.

Trauma often produces patterns that operate outside conscious awareness. Survivors may experience triggers, emotional reactions, or bodily responses without understanding their origins. Through structured reflection and observation, the awareness stage helps individuals begin identifying the ways trauma manifests across multiple domains of functioning, including physical, emotional, cognitive, and relational experiences. Identifying such patterns and triggers is widely recognized as an important early step in trauma recovery (Herman, 2015; Levine, 2010).

Awareness is conceptualized as a form of internal data collection in which individuals begin to examine how trauma has shaped their emotional responses, physical sensations, relational patterns, and internal dialogue. This stage encourages individuals to adopt the role of observer in relation to their own experiences, establishing the foundation upon which the remaining pillars are built.

In clinical practice, the client is encouraged to observe their emotional responses, behavioral patterns, internal dialogue, and bodily reactions, with the goal of becoming a researcher of their own experience. Clients may be guided to identify triggers, recurring relational patterns, and the ways trauma history continues to shape present experiences, while the clinician facilitates curiosity and observation.

Acceptance: Integrating Awareness Without Judgment

The second pillar of Self-Reconciliation Therapy involves acceptance. While awareness allows individuals to recognize patterns shaped by trauma, acceptance requires individuals to acknowledge those patterns without self-judgment or avoidance.

Trauma survivors frequently internalize shame related to their experiences, particularly in cases of sexual trauma. Shame can function as a barrier to healing because it encourages individuals to reject or suppress aspects of their experiences rather than integrate them (Herman, 2015; Brown, 2012).

Acceptance within SRT therefore focuses on separating behavior from identity. Individuals are encouraged to recognize that trauma responses often represent adaptive survival strategies developed in response to overwhelming circumstances rather than personal failures. Acceptance also involves acknowledging the lasting impact of trauma on the body, emotions, and relationships. Rather than attempting to erase trauma history, SRT encourages individuals to integrate their experiences as part of their broader life narrative.

In clinical practice, clients engage the information gathered during awareness and explore the meanings they have attached to their experiences. This work often addresses shame, self-blame, and internalized narratives through reflective dialogue, narrative exploration, and cognitive reframing, and it cultivates a more compassionate relationship with the Self.

Attunement: Reconnecting with the Body

The third pillar of Self-Reconciliation Therapy focuses on attunement. Attunement refers to the process of developing awareness of bodily sensations and rebuilding the relationship between the mind and the body.

Trauma frequently disrupts the relationship between the mind and body through processes such as dissociation or autonomic nervous system dysregulation (Ogden & Fisher, 2015; van der Kolk, 2014). While dissociation may serve as a survival mechanism during traumatic experiences, it often creates long-term difficulties in

emotional regulation, intimacy, and self-perception.

A central feature of Self-Reconciliation Therapy is its emphasis on embodied experience. Traumatic stress can remain active within the nervous system long after the original event (van der Kolk, 2014; Levine, 2010; Ogden & Fisher, 2015). Talk therapy builds insight, and body-based techniques reach the parts of trauma that are difficult to process through verbal dialogue alone.

Self-Reconciliation Therapy addresses this disconnection through practices that emphasize somatic awareness. These practices encourage individuals to observe bodily sensations, emotional responses, and environmental stimuli in order to rebuild a sense of connection to their physical selves. The attunement stage incorporates techniques drawn from somatic therapies, including grounding practices, sensory awareness exercises, and body-focused attention. These approaches allow individuals to reestablish communication between cognitive awareness and bodily experience, expanding their capacity for emotional regulation.

Within SRT, somatic integration functions as a complementary pathway through which trauma is addressed more holistically alongside cognitive processing. Embodied practices are positioned as necessary dimensions of a complete reconciliation process.

In clinical practice, attunement work may include grounding exercises, sensory awareness practices, body scans, and other somatic techniques. Through this work, clients begin to experience their bodies as valuable sources of information and guidance.

Atonement: Repairing the Relationship with the Self

The final pillar of Self-Reconciliation Therapy is atonement. Within the framework, atonement refers to the process of repairing the internal relationship between the individual and the Self.

The term atonement historically derives from the concept of being "at one" or reconciled. In the context of SRT, atonement represents the restoration of alignment between one's identity, body, and internal sense of self. This stage emphasizes self-compassion, accountability, and relational repair. Individuals are encouraged to confront internalized beliefs that emerged from trauma and to reconstruct a relationship with themselves grounded in agency and self-respect.

In clinical practice, atonement integrates the insights developed in earlier work. Clients build a relationship with themselves characterized by self-respect, compassion, and agency, which may involve redefining personal boundaries, cultivating new relational patterns, and developing ownership over their bodies and identities. Experiential modalities, including therapeutic BDSM, may be introduced at this point to support embodied reconciliation.

Through atonement, individuals shift from viewing themselves primarily as survivors of trauma to recognizing themselves as active participants in their own healing and transformation.

Cultural Context and Trauma

Self-Reconciliation Therapy was developed within the specific context of trauma recovery among Black women with histories of sexual trauma. This origin is not incidental to the framework. It is constitutive of it.

Black women in the United States occupy a sociocultural position shaped by the convergence of historical trauma, systemic racism, and gendered oppression. The legacies of chattel slavery, racialized sexual violence, and ongoing structural inequality have produced forms of embodied trauma that are distinct in both their origins and their expression. Research has documented that race-based traumatic stress and intersecting forms of discrimination significantly influence both trauma exposure and the pathways available for healing (Bryant-Davis, 2007; Carter, 2007).

Cultural narratives that cast Black women as inherently strong, resilient, and self-sufficient create a particular kind of barrier in trauma recovery. These narratives, rooted in historical dehumanization and reinforced through ongoing cultural expectation, discourage the acknowledgment of vulnerability, the expression of distress, and the pursuit of care. Many Black women are socialized to prioritize the needs of others while suppressing their own emotional lives, a pattern that delays and complicates engagement in any therapeutic process that requires sustained self-focus and internal honesty (Rahman, 2022).

The relationship between Black women's bodies and systems of power also shapes how trauma is held somatically. Centuries of bodily violation, commodification, and medical neglect have produced a profound and often inherited distrust of the body as a site of safety or pleasure. Reconnecting with the body as a source of information, agency, and healing, which is central to the attunement pillar of

SRT, carries specific historical weight for this population. The framework was designed to honor that weight rather than bypass it.

Additionally, the spiritual and communal dimensions of healing that are central to many Black cultural traditions are acknowledged within the SRT framework. The concept of atonement, with its emphasis on restoration, repair, and the return to wholeness, resonates with healing traditions that understand recovery as a relational and communal process rather than a purely individual one.

By grounding its development in the specific experiences of Black women, SRT was designed to produce a framework with genuine cultural rootedness rather than one that treats culture as an addendum to a universal model. The framework's broader applicability emerges from that specificity rather than despite it. When the most complex and marginalized experiences of trauma are centered in the design of a therapeutic model, the resulting framework carries greater depth and range for addressing trauma across diverse populations.

Situating Self-Reconciliation Therapy Within Existing Therapeutic Frameworks

Self-Reconciliation Therapy emerges within a broader landscape of therapeutic models that address trauma through cognitive, relational, and embodied processes. While SRT introduces a distinct framework centered on reconciliation between the individual and the Self, it draws conceptual inspiration from several established approaches, including Internal Family Systems, somatic therapies, and narrative therapy. Examining these connections helps situate SRT within contemporary trauma-informed practice while clarifying its unique contributions.

Internal Family System

Internal Family Systems (IFS), developed by Richard Schwartz, conceptualizes the psyche as composed of multiple parts that interact within an internal system. Healing within IFS occurs when the individual's core Self assumes a leadership role and facilitates compassionate relationships among internal parts (Schwartz, 1995).

SRT shares with IFS an emphasis on cultivating a relationship with the Self and recognizing the internal dynamics that influence behavior, emotional responses, and identity formation. Both models encourage curiosity, non-judgmental awareness, and compassionate engagement with internal experiences.

SRT conceptualizes internal conflict as a disruption in the relationship between the individual and their integrated Self rather

than as an interaction between discrete parts. The reconciliation process focuses on restoring alignment across cognitive awareness, emotional experience, and embodied sensation rather than mediating relationships among internal subpersonalities. Through the pillars of awareness, acceptance, attunement, and atonement, SRT facilitates the reintegration of experiences that trauma has fragmented.

Somatic Therapies

SRT also aligns with trauma therapies that emphasize the role of the body in psychological healing. Somatic approaches, including Somatic Experiencing (Levine, 2010), Sensorimotor Psychotherapy (Ogden & Fisher, 2015), and other body-centered modalities, recognize that traumatic experiences are often encoded within physiological responses of the nervous system. These approaches focus on restoring nervous system regulation through awareness of bodily sensations, movement, and sensory experience.

SRT incorporates similar insights regarding the embodied nature of trauma. Within the model, the pillar of attunement focuses specifically on reconnecting individuals with their bodies after trauma-induced disconnection or dissociation. Through practices such as sensory awareness, grounding, and somatic observation, individuals develop the capacity to interpret bodily signals and reestablish internal coherence. The SRT framework situates these somatic practices within a broader relational process of reconciliation with the Self, positioning embodiment as a pathway through which individuals restore alignment between cognitive awareness and lived experience.

Narrative Therapy

Narrative therapy, developed by Michael White and David Epston, emphasizes the role of personal storytelling in shaping identity and meaning. This approach invites individuals to externalize problems and reconstruct their life narratives in ways that emphasize agency and resilience (White & Epston, 1990).

SRT shares narrative therapy's recognition that individuals actively construct meaning from their experiences. Trauma can produce narratives characterized by shame, blame, and fragmentation, which shape an individual's sense of identity and possibility. Within the SRT framework, narrative processes emerge during the stages of awareness and acceptance, where individuals examine the patterns, beliefs, and interpretations that have developed in response to trauma.

SRT integrates narrative reflection with embodied awareness, allowing individuals to engage both cognitive meaning-making and physiological regulation as part of the reconciliation process. This integration distinguishes SRT from narrative therapy, which primarily centers language and story as the mechanisms of change.

Theoretical Contributions of Self-Reconciliation Therapy

Self-Reconciliation Therapy contributes to the evolving field of trauma-informed care by introducing a framework that integrates relational, cognitive, and embodied processes within a structured model of internal reconciliation. Its framing of trauma as a relational fracture within the Self moves the focus of therapy from symptom reduction alone toward the restoration of internal coherence.

SRT integrates cognitive and somatic dimensions of healing within a single therapeutic structure. Many therapeutic traditions emphasize either cognitive processing or embodied regulation as the primary mechanism of change. SRT treats both as essential and organizes them across the four pillars: awareness and acceptance carry the cognitive and emotional processing of trauma-related experiences, while attunement and atonement carry embodied awareness and relational repair.

The concept of reconciliation serves as the organizing principle of the SRT model. In this context, reconciliation refers to the process through which individuals restore alignment between their internal experiences, their bodily awareness, and their broader sense of identity. This emphasis expands traditional trauma frameworks by centering relational repair within the self as a core component of healing. Through reconciliation, individuals move from a state of fragmentation and disconnection toward a state of internal integration and self-recognition.

SRT also contributes a theoretical structure that supports the integration of experiential therapeutic practices within trauma treatment. Somatic therapies, sensory-based interventions, and other embodied practices may be understood within the SRT framework as pathways that facilitate attunement and atonement within the reconciliation process. In this way, SRT functions not only as a therapeutic model in its own right but also as a conceptual architecture through which experiential modalities may be understood, contextualized, and ethically applied.

Therapeutic BDSM as a Somatic Power Exchange with Pain

Research on consensual BDSM practices has increasingly demonstrated that participants engage in highly negotiated interactions characterized by explicit communication, structured consent practices, and clearly defined relational roles (Newmahr, 2011; Langdridge & Barker, 2007). These dynamics have led scholars to examine BDSM through frameworks of relational negotiation, power exchange, and embodied experience. Practitioners and researchers also describe BDSM as ritual, spiritual practice, and a means of personal transformation (Carlström, 2018; Klement et al., 2017).

Within SRT, therapeutic BDSM is conceptualized as a structured power exchange with one's pain: intentional and consensual experiences that allow individuals to engage sensations, authority, and control in ways that facilitate somatic processing and meaning-making. Cognitive reflection and relational repair play important roles in healing, and individuals who have experienced body-based trauma often also need experiences that engage the body directly to restore agency and internal coherence.

Therapeutic BDSM reframes elements of power exchange as structured somatic experiences that may support trauma recovery when implemented within an ethical and clinically informed framework. Through carefully negotiated scenes, participants encounter sensations, relational dynamics, and bodily responses in ways that allow them to reinterpret experiences previously associated with trauma.

Therapeutic BDSM aligns most closely with the attunement and atonement pillars. Through negotiated sensation, relational dynamics, and control, participants hold past experiences of disempowerment alongside present experiences of agency and begin to reconcile the two, reestablishing trust in their bodies and authority within their physical selves. This framework has previously been examined in relation to community perceptions of therapeutic BDSM and the ethical considerations associated with integrating power exchange practices into healing frameworks (Rahman, 2025).

Historical and Cross-Cultural Roots of Therapeutic BDSM

The practices at the center of therapeutic BDSM have a long history. Intense sensation, structured surrender of control, and the presence of witnesses appear in healing, devotional, and initiation traditions across continents and centuries. Contemporary

BDSM communities name and negotiate these elements in their own language, and researchers have begun to measure what ritual participants and practitioners have long described. Locating therapeutic BDSM within this lineage places it among the oldest human practices for transformation, meaning-making, and repair.

Intense Sensation as a Pathway to Transformation

Religious and healing traditions have used deliberate pain as medicine, as a test, as a rite of passage, and as an agent of inner transformation (Glucklich, 2001). In his cross-cultural study of what he calls sacred pain, Glucklich argues that intense sensation quiets the ordinary sense of self and opens space for a new identity and a deeper sense of connection. In medieval Europe, voluntary flagellation developed as a devotional practice that engaged body, emotion, and imagination together, and its history runs from monastic discipline through early modern medical writing into later erotic literature (Largier, 2007). On the northern Plains, the Mandan O-kee-pa combined fasting, sleep deprivation, and piercing within a communal ceremony of renewal; the painter George Catlin recorded it after Mandan leaders invited him to witness it in 1832 (Catlin, 1867). During the Hindu festival of Thaipusam, devotees carry the kavadi with their bodies pierced by hooks and skewers, and fire-walking rituals continue today in communities from rural Spain to Mauritius (Xygalatas et al., 2013; Konvalinka et al., 2011).

Field and laboratory research now documents what these traditions report. In a Mauritian Hindu community, fire-walkers showed the largest increase in heart rate during the ritual and reported greater happiness afterward than lower-intensity participants and spectators (Fischer et al., 2014). Performers of the painful kavadi ritual, and the family and friends who walked alongside them, donated more money to their temple than participants in a low-intensity ritual of collective prayer and song, and the more pain a person reported or perceived, the larger the donation (Xygalatas et al., 2013). Experimental studies found that sharing a painful task increased bonding and cooperation among strangers (Bastian, Jetten, & Ferris, 2014). Qi et al. (2020) replicated the bonding effect and found that sharing is the essential ingredient: pain increased cooperation only when it was shared. A preregistered replication found no effect of shared pain on cooperation (Prochazka et al., 2022), so the size of this effect remains an open question, while the central role of

sharing points directly to the importance of the witness. A broader review describes the positive consequences of pain across biological, psychological, and social domains, including heightened pleasure through contrast and relief, and greater social connection through empathy and affiliation (Bastian, Jetten, Hornsey, & Leknes, 2014). Neuroscience offers a mechanism: pain and pleasure share overlapping neural circuitry, and the opioid and dopamine systems modulate both (Leknes & Tracey, 2008).

Power, Surrender, and the Structure of Ritual

Healing and initiation traditions also organize power. Van Gennep (1909/1960) described rites of passage as moving through three phases: separation, transition, and incorporation. Turner (1969) named the middle phase liminality, a threshold state in which ordinary status is suspended, initiates yield to the authority of ritual elders, and an intense bond he called communitas forms among those who pass through it together. Surrender in these settings is temporary, bounded, and purposeful, and it ends with a return to the community in a changed role. Kirmayer (2004) describes healing practices across cultures as working through meaning, metaphor, and embodied experience at once, with symbolic action transforming experience across sensory, emotional, and conceptual levels.

A negotiated BDSM scene follows the same arc. Negotiation separates the scene from ordinary life, the scene itself is a bounded period of altered roles and heightened sensation, and aftercare returns participants to everyday status. Carlström (2018) analyzes BDSM sessions as interaction rituals that generate emotional energy, intimacy, community, and healing effects between participants. Within SRT, this ritual structure gives survivors a container in which surrendering control is chosen, time-limited, and reversible, the inverse of the conditions under which sexual trauma occurs.

Witnessing

Witnessing is the third thread. Herman (2015) places reconnection with others at the center of trauma recovery. Laub, writing from his clinical work with Holocaust survivors, describes testimony as a process that needs a listener: the presence of a witness allows an experience held in isolation to be spoken and known (Felman & Laub, 1992). Weingarten (2003) extends this to compassionate witnessing, in which an aware and engaged witness helps restore a sense of shared humanity after violence. In Black American history, the ring shout, a

counterclockwise circle dance carried from Central and West Africa through slavery, gathered the community in song, movement, and spiritual intensity, and Stuckey (1987) identifies it as a foundation through which enslaved Africans of many ethnic origins formed a shared culture.

Physiological research shows that witnessing is an active, embodied role. During a fire-walking ritual in San Pedro Manrique, Spain, the heart rates of spectators related to the fire-walkers synchronized with the performers' heart rates, and this synchrony appeared only among related spectators (Konvalinka et al., 2011). In Mauritius, relatives who took part at lower intensity reported more fatigue after the ritual than the fire-walkers themselves, which suggests that witnessing a loved one's ordeal carries its own physical cost (Fischer et al., 2014). In therapeutic BDSM, the Top, the facilitator, and in some formats a clinician hold this witnessing role. Their presence confirms that what is happening in the body is seen, chosen, and held within agreed limits, which speaks directly to the isolation and invisibility that so often accompany sexual trauma.

Contemporary Research on BDSM, Ritual, and Trauma

Researchers have begun to examine these connections within BDSM communities directly. At the Dance of Souls, a ritual held at the Southwest Leather Conference in which participants receive temporary piercings with weights or hooks attached, participants described spirituality, deeper interpersonal connection, stress reduction, and altered states of consciousness as their reasons for taking part, and the research team documented physiological and psychological changes across the ritual (Klement et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2016). In a controlled study of BDSM scenes, bottoming was associated with an altered state consistent with transient hypofrontality, topping with a flow state, and both roles with reductions in psychological stress and negative affect (Ambler et al., 2017). In couples studied before and after sadomasochistic activity, those who reported positive experiences also reported increased relationship closeness (Sagarin et al., 2009).

Studies with trauma survivors describe how these elements function in healing. Hammers (2014) found that rape play allowed survivors of sexual assault to move from the bodily disintegration of the assault toward bodily recuperation, and she extended this analysis in later work (Hammers, 2019). Thomas (2020) used autoethnography to examine trauma play as

the conscious, deliberate reconstruction of a personal trauma. Cascalheira et al. (2023) interviewed survivors of early abuse from five countries and identified six themes in their recovery through kink: cultural context of healing, restructuring the self-concept, liberation through relationship, reclaiming power, repurposing behaviors, and redefining pain. Participants reported retraumatizing experiences only before they learned the structural safeguards of BDSM. Lindemann (2011) documents how professional dominatrices frame their work as therapy, and Gewirtz-Meydan et al. (2024) review evidence that BDSM can serve survivors of childhood sexual abuse as a path toward processing and healing and also as a site of repetition and dissociation, which underscores the need for clinical structure. These themes map onto the SRT pillars: reclaiming power and restructuring the self-concept correspond to atonement, and redefining pain and repurposing behaviors correspond to attunement.

Research on the broader psychological profile of practitioners supports a strengths-based reading of these practices. Compared with a control group, BDSM practitioners in the Netherlands scored as more extraverted, more open to new experiences, more conscientious, less neurotic, and less sensitive to rejection, and they reported more secure attachment (Wismeijer & van Assen, 2013). Kleinplatz (2006) studied practitioners of consensual sadomasochism as teachers of optimal erotic intimacy, highlighting their skill in communication, negotiation, and deliberate exploration. Baker (2018) describes practitioners who find psychological and spiritual meaning in BDSM experiences, which places kink alongside other practices people use to make sense of their lives.

Across these studies, the therapeutic elements of BDSM fall into recognizable mechanisms. Negotiation gives survivors predictability and voice before anything touches the body. Safewords and limits make boundaries something practiced and honored in real time, which participants in Cascalheira et al. (2023) linked to reclaiming power. Graduated contact with sensations and dynamics connected to past harm resembles exposure work, which the same participants described as repurposing behaviors. Altered states during scenes coincide with reduced stress and negative affect (Ambler et al., 2017), and aftercare, the period of care and reconnection after a scene, gives space to integrate what the body has just experienced. Each of these mechanisms becomes a clinical

tool when it is aimed deliberately at a person's healing.

Ancient Practices, Reimagined With Intention

This lineage also carries a history of appropriation that a culturally grounded framework must name. Catlin documented the O-kee-pa as an outside observer only a few years before smallpox devastated the Mandan people. The twentieth-century modern primitives movement, led by Fakir Musafar, drew on Indigenous and South Asian rituals, including Plains piercing ceremonies, and critics describe its picture of those traditions as romanticized and loosely assembled from many cultures (Vale & Juno, 1989; Lodder, 2011). Therapeutic BDSM draws on the widely shared human recognition that sensation, surrender, and witnessing can transform suffering. It honors the specific traditions that hold these practices by naming them accurately and leaving their sacred forms with their own communities.

For Black women, the relationship between pain, power, and pleasure carries the weight of slavery, racialized sexual violence, and the cultural demand to be strong. Walker-Barnes (2014) documents how the StrongBlackWoman ideal, built on emotional strength, caregiving, and independence, constrains Black women's lives and harms their health. Cruz (2016) and Musser (2014) examine BDSM as a site where Black women negotiate and contest pleasure, pain, and power, and M. Williams (2010), a Black woman and community educator, offers practitioner guidance on safeguarding scenes that engage race and historical trauma. Within SRT, therapeutic BDSM offers Black women a structured space to set down the burden of performed strength, receive care, and hold authority over sensation in a body that history has treated as a site of extraction.

Taken together, this history and research show that therapeutic BDSM draws on practices people have used for centuries to heal, transform, and belong. Each exchange described in the next section has a lineage. Bondage and discipline echo the bounded restraint and seclusion of initiation. Dominance and submission echo the authority held between elder and initiate in the liminal phase of ritual. Sadism and masochism echo sacred pain used as medicine and as a doorway to a new self. The witness runs through all three.

What SRT contributes is intention. Ritual traditions directed intense sensation, surrender, and witnessing toward the sacred, the ancestors, or the community. Therapeutic BDSM directs them toward a specific

person's trauma at a specific point in that person's healing. Four elements define this reimagining: purpose, with each scene tied to the SRT pillar the client is working in; clinical assessment and pacing, including the stabilization considerations described later in this article; explicit consent negotiated at surface, scene, and deep levels; and integration, in which aftercare and ongoing therapy turn what happened in the body into meaning, language, and a changed relationship with the Self. The practices are ancient. The intention, the structure, and the person at the center are specific.

The Exchanges Within Therapeutic BDSM

Therapeutic BDSM draws upon three traditional dimensions of BDSM interaction: bondage and discipline, dominance and submission, and sadism and masochism. Within a therapeutic context, these dimensions are reframed as structured exchanges that engage specific aspects of bodily experience and agency.

Bondage and Discipline: An Exchange of Control

Bondage and discipline involve experiences in which control over movement, positioning, or action is intentionally structured within negotiated parameters. When engaged in therapeutic contexts, these experiences may provide opportunities for individuals to consciously manipulate and reclaim control within their bodies.

A somatic experience involving bondage or discipline can create conditions in which participants directly engage the concept of control in a structured environment. Through negotiated restraints, positional control, or disciplined physical engagement, individuals may encounter situations that allow the body and mind to register that within the present moment, control is intentionally negotiated and maintained.

In these moments, the participant is not reliving past trauma but actively engaging an experience in which they hold authority over the circumstances of their bodily engagement. This distinction can allow individuals to experience the contrast between past experiences of powerlessness and present experiences of agency.

Dominance and Submission: An Exchange of Authority

Individuals with body-based trauma frequently experience disruptions in their sense of authority over their own bodies. Experiences of sexual trauma or bodily violation may produce lasting impacts on how individuals navigate boundaries, authority, and interpersonal dynamics. This

loss of authority may manifest in multiple ways, including difficulty asserting boundaries, discomfort with physical touch, heightened vigilance in relational environments, or resistance to authority structures more broadly.

Within therapeutic BDSM, dominance and submission are reframed as an exchange of authority rather than a relinquishment of agency. Authority is negotiated, structured, and consciously engaged within clearly defined parameters. Participants are able to explore the dynamics of giving, receiving, and negotiating authority in ways that allow them to examine their relationship to power and bodily autonomy. The structured nature of these exchanges allows individuals to encounter relational power in a context where consent, communication, and negotiation are central elements of the interaction.

The capacity of therapeutic BDSM to generate highly individualized experiences is one of its distinctive features as a potential somatic modality. Because scenes can be negotiated and structured according to the participant's needs, therapeutic BDSM can generate exercises that address the specific present-moment needs of the individual engaging the process.

Sadism and Masochism: An Exchange of Sensation

Experiences of body-based trauma often involve sensations imposed without consent. Whether those sensations were experienced as painful, confusing, or even physiologically pleasurable, the absence of consent frequently results in those sensations becoming associated with fear, shame, disgust, or violation.

Within therapeutic BDSM, the emphasis shifts away from sexual gratification and toward the intentional rescripting of sensation. Rather than focusing on the pursuit of pleasure through pain, therapeutic contexts engage sensation as a medium for somatic processing and reinterpretation. The focus moves toward the relational roles of Topping and Bottoming. The Top facilitates sensation within negotiated parameters, while the Bottom experiences sensation within a framework of explicit consent and negotiated control. Through this process, individuals may encounter sensations that once felt overwhelming or violating and reinterpret them within a context defined by consent, communication, and agency. Sensations previously associated with trauma may be experienced in ways that support integration rather than distress. Survivors of early abuse

in one qualitative study described this process as redefining pain: transcending painful memories through masochism (Cascalheira et al., 2023).

Positioning Therapeutic BDSM Within Existing Kink-Affirming Practices

It is important to distinguish therapeutic BDSM from other approaches that engage kink within therapeutic contexts. In clinical settings, kink-affirming therapy or kink-informed therapy typically refers to traditional psychotherapy in which clinicians possess cultural competency regarding BDSM practices and identities (Langdridge & Barker, 2007). In these approaches, BDSM itself is not used as a therapeutic intervention but serves as contextual knowledge that informs clinical care.

Therapeutic BDSM, as conceptualized within the Self-Reconciliation Therapy framework, represents a different application. Structured power-exchange experiences may be used as embodied exercises designed to facilitate somatic processing and reconciliation with the body. The collaborative structure of therapeutic BDSM, including the distinct roles of clinicians, facilitators, and consultants, will be examined in a forthcoming article on the Therapeutic BDSM Collaborative Network model.

Community consent frameworks offer a foundation for this structure. The 4Cs model of caring, communication, consent, and caution builds on the earlier Safe, Sane, and Consensual and Risk-Aware Consensual Kink frameworks and distinguishes surface, scene, and deep levels of consent (D. J. Williams et al., 2014). Deep consent, the level at which a participant's most fundamental limits and meanings are respected, is especially relevant when scenes engage trauma history. The Kink Clinical Practice Guidelines Project (2019) provides clinicians with practice standards for working with people with kink interests, and these guidelines complement the clinical structure SRT adds.

Indications and Clinical Considerations

Self-Reconciliation Therapy is designed as an integrative framework for individuals seeking to repair disruptions in the relationship between mind, body, and identity. The model may be particularly useful for individuals whose trauma experiences have created disconnection from bodily awareness, internal authority, or emotional integration.

Clinical presentations that may benefit from this framework include body-based trauma including sexual trauma and

violations of bodily autonomy, persistent disconnection from bodily sensations, trauma-related shame and internalized self-blame, difficulty establishing or maintaining personal boundaries, relational patterns influenced by trauma history, and challenges integrating traumatic experiences into a coherent sense of self.

Because SRT incorporates both cognitive reflection and somatic awareness, it may be particularly helpful for individuals who recognize that trauma continues to affect their present experiences and who are willing to engage in a process of exploration and integration.

As with any therapeutic model, clinical judgment is necessary in determining when SRT is appropriate. The reconciliation process involves increasing awareness of trauma-related experiences, which may initially evoke emotional or physiological responses that require careful pacing and stabilization. Clinical circumstances that may require modifications to the therapeutic approach or the prioritization of stabilization before engaging fully in reconciliation-focused work include severe or persistent dissociation that significantly limits present-moment awareness, active substance use disorders that interfere with emotional regulation or reflective capacity, acute psychiatric instability or crisis conditions, and clinical presentations involving significant identity disturbance or emotional volatility that require stabilization prior to trauma-focused exploration.

In these situations, therapeutic work may initially focus on safety, stabilization, and supportive interventions before engaging the deeper processes that characterize Self-Reconciliation Therapy. The pacing of SRT should remain responsive to each client's psychological readiness and therapeutic goals throughout the work.

Implications for Future Research

As an emerging therapeutic model, Self-Reconciliation Therapy invites further empirical investigation. Future research may examine the clinical outcomes associated with SRT-informed interventions, the applicability of the framework across diverse populations, and the potential integration of SRT with other trauma-informed therapeutic approaches. By conceptualizing healing as a process of internal reconciliation that integrates cognitive insight, embodied awareness, and cultural rootedness, SRT contributes a distinct perspective to contemporary trauma therapy and expands the theoretical landscape of trauma-informed practice.

Conclusion

Self-Reconciliation Therapy offers an integrative framework for trauma recovery that centers the restoration of the relationship between individuals and their Self. Through the four pillars of awareness, acceptance, attunement, and atonement, the model provides a structured yet flexible pathway for addressing the psychological, embodied, and relational dimensions of trauma.

Developed within the specific context of Black women's experiences of sexual trauma, SRT honors the cultural, historical, and somatic dimensions of healing, and its broader applicability grows from that specificity.

SRT also provides conceptual infrastructure for experiential therapeutic approaches, including Therapeutic BDSM, through which embodied practices may be understood, ethically contextualized, and applied within a structured clinical framework. As research on embodied approaches to trauma continues to expand, Self-Reconciliation Therapy contributes a relational, integrative, and culturally grounded perspective to the evolving field of trauma-informed care..

References

Ambler, J. K., Lee, E. M., Klement, K. R., Loewald, T., Comber, E. M., Hanson, S. A., Cutler, B., Cutler, N., & Sagarin, B. J. (2017). Consensual BDSM facilitates role-specific altered states of consciousness: A preliminary study. Psychology of Consciousness: Theory, Research, and Practice, 4(1), 75–91. https://doi.org/10.1037/cns0000097

Baker, A. C. (2018). Sacred kink: Finding psychological meaning at the intersection of BDSM and spiritual experience. Sexual and Relationship Therapy, 33(4), 440–453. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681994.2016.1205185

Bastian, B., Jetten, J., & Ferris, L. J. (2014). Pain as social glue: Shared pain increases cooperation. Psychological Science, 25(11), 2079–2085. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614545886

Bastian, B., Jetten, J., Hornsey, M. J., & Leknes, S. (2014). The positive consequences of pain: A biopsychosocial approach. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 18(3), 256–279. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868314527831

Brown, B. (2012). Daring greatly: How the courage to be vulnerable transforms

the way we live, love, parent, and lead. Gotham Books.

Bryant-Davis, T. (2007). Healing requires recognition: The case for race-based traumatic stress. The Counseling Psychologist, 35(1), 135–143.

Carlström, C. (2018). BDSM, interaction rituals and open bodies. Sexuality & Culture, 22(1), 209–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12119-017-9461-7

Carter, R. T. (2007). Racism and psychological and emotional injury: Recognizing and assessing race-based traumatic stress. The Counseling Psychologist, 35(1), 13–105. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000006292033

Cascalheira, C. J., Ijebor, E. E., Salkowitz, Y., Hitter, T. L., & Boyce, A. (2023). Curative kink: Survivors of early abuse transform trauma through BDSM. Sexual and Relationship Therapy, 38(3), 353–383. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681994.2021.1937599

Catlin, G. (1867). O-kee-pa: A religious ceremony; and other customs of the Mandans. J. B. Lippincott.

Cruz, A. (2016). The color of kink: Black women, BDSM, and pornography. New York University Press.

Felman, S., & Laub, D. (1992). Testimony: Crises of witnessing in literature, psychoanalysis, and history. Routledge.

Fischer, R., Xygalatas, D., Mitkidis, P., Reddish, P., Tok, P., Konvalinka, I., & Bulbulia, J. (2014). The fire-walker's high: Affect and physiological responses in an extreme collective ritual. PLOS ONE, 9(2), e88355. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088355

Gewirtz-Meydan, A., Godbout, N., Canivet, C., Peleg-Sagy, T., & Lafortune, D. (2024). The complex interplay between BDSM and childhood sexual abuse: A form of repetition and dissociation or a path toward processing and healing? Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 50(5), 583–594. https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2024.2332775

Glucklich, A. (2001). Sacred pain: Hurting the body for the sake of the soul. Oxford University Press.

Hammers, C. (2014). Corporeality, sadomasochism and sexual trauma. Body & Society, 20(2), 68–90. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357034X13477159

Hammers, C. (2019). Reworking trauma through BDSM. Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society, 44(2), 491–514. https://doi.org/10.1086/699370

Herman, J. L. (2015). Trauma and recovery: The aftermath of violence from domestic abuse to political terror. Basic Books.

Kink Clinical Practice Guidelines Project. (2019). Clinical practice guidelines for working with people with kink interests. https://www.kinkguidelines.com

Kirmayer, L. J. (2004). The cultural diversity of healing: Meaning, metaphor and mechanism. British Medical Bulletin, 69(1), 33–48. https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldh006

Kleinplatz, P. J. (2006). Learning from extraordinary lovers: Lessons from the edge. Journal of Homosexuality, 50(2–3), 325–348. https://doi.org/10.1300/J082v50n02_16

Klement, K. R., Lee, E. M., Ambler, J. K., Hanson, S. A., Comber, E., Wietting, D., Wagner, M. F., Burns, V. R., Cutler, B., Cutler, N., Reid, E., & Sagarin, B. J. (2017). Extreme rituals in a BDSM context: The physiological and psychological effects of the 'Dance of Souls.' Culture, Health & Sexuality, 19(4), 453–469. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2016.1234648

Konvalinka, I., Xygalatas, D., Bulbulia, J., Schjødt, U., Jegindø, E.-M., Wallot, S., Van Orden, G., & Roepstorff, A. (2011). Synchronized arousal between performers and related spectators in a fire-walking ritual. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(20), 8514–8519. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1016955108

Langdridge, D., & Barker, M. (Eds.). (2007). Safe, sane and consensual: Contemporary perspectives on sadomasochism. Palgrave Macmillan.

Largier, N. (2007). In praise of the whip: A cultural history of arousal (G. Harman, Trans.). Zone Books.

Lee, E. M., Klement, K. R., Ambler, J. K., Loewald, T., Comber, E. M., Hanson, S. A., Pruitt, B., & Sagarin, B. J. (2016). Altered states of consciousness during an extreme ritual. PLOS ONE, 11(5), e0153126. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153126

Leknes, S., & Tracey, I. (2008). A common neurobiology for pain and pleasure. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 9(4), 314–320. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2333

Levine, P. A. (2010). In an unspoken voice: How the body releases trauma and restores goodness. North Atlantic Books.

Lindemann, D. (2011). BDSM as therapy? Sexualities, 14(2), 151–172. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460711399038

Lodder, M. (2011). The myths of modern primitivism. European Journal of American Culture, 30(2), 99–111. https://doi.org/10.1386/ejac.30.2.99_1

Musser, A. J. (2014). Sensational flesh: Race, power, and masochism. New York University Press.

Newmahr, S. (2011). Playing on the edge: Sadomasochism, risk, and intimacy. Indiana University Press.

Ogden, P., & Fisher, J. (2015). Sensorimotor psychotherapy: Interventions for trauma and attachment. W. W. Norton & Company.

Prochazka, J., Parilakova, K., Rudolf, P., Bruk, V., Jungwirthova, R., Fejtova, S., Masaryk, R., & Vaculik, M. (2022). Pain as social glue: A preregistered direct replication of Experiment 2 of Bastian et al. (2014). Psychological Science, 33(3), 463–473. https://doi.org/10.1177/09567976211040745

Qi, F., Sun, Q., Yao, J., Dai, R., Wang, X., & Liu, Y. (2020). Pain and sharing: A re-examination of the findings of Bastian, Jetten, and Ferris (2014). Journal of Pacific Rim Psychology, 14, e13. https://doi.org/10.1017/prp.2020.6

Rahman, Y. R. (2022). A power exchange with your pain: A guide toward reconciliation with self.

Rahman, Y. R. (2025). Therapeutic BDSM as a tool for trauma healing: A somatic approach to addressing sexual trauma

in Black women. Journal of Kink and Community, 1(1), 66-75.

Sagarin, B. J., Cutler, B., Cutler, N., Lawler-Sagarin, K. A., & Matuszewich, L. (2009). Hormonal changes and couple bonding in consensual sadomasochistic activity. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 38(2), 186–200. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-008-9374-5

Schwartz, R. C. (1995). Internal family systems therapy. Guilford Press.

Siegel, D. J. (2012). The developing mind: How relationships and the brain interact to shape who we are (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.

Stuckey, S. (1987). Slave culture: Nationalist theory and the foundations of Black America. Oxford University Press.

Thomas, J. N. (2020). BDSM as trauma play: An autoethnographic investigation. Sexualities, 23(5–6), 917–933. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460719861800

Turner, V. (1969). The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure. Aldine.

Vale, V., & Juno, A. (Eds.). (1989). Modern primitives: An investigation of contemporary adornment and ritual. RE/Search Publications.

van der Kolk, B. A. (2014). The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma. Viking.

van Gennep, A. (1960). The rites of passage (M. B. Vizedom & G. L. Caffee, Trans.). University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1909)

Walker-Barnes, C. (2014). Too heavy a yoke: Black women and the burden of strength. Cascade Books.

Weingarten, K. (2003). Common shock: Witnessing violence every day: How we are harmed, how we can heal. Dutton.

White, M., & Epston, D. (1990). Narrative means to therapeutic ends. W. W. Norton & Company.

Williams, D. J., Thomas, J. N., Prior, E. E., & Christensen, M. C. (2014). From "SSC" and "RACK" to the "4Cs": Introducing a new framework for negotiating BDSM participation. Electronic Journal of Human Sexuality, 17.

Williams, M. (2010). The toybag guide to playing with taboo. Greenery Press.

Wismeijer, A. A. J., & van Assen, M. A. L. M. (2013). Psychological characteristics of BDSM practitioners. The Journal of Sexual

Medicine, 10(8), 1943–1952. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12192

Xygalatas, D., Mitkidis, P., Fischer, R., Reddish, P., Skewes, J., Geertz, A. W., Roepstorff, A., & Bulbulia, J. (2013). Extreme rituals promote prosociality. Psychological Science, 24(8), 1602–1605. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612472910