Therapeutic BDSM™ and Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT): A Community-Informed Framework for Identity-Based, Somatic Healing

Yulinda Renee Rahman, Ph.D.

Abstract

This article explores the emergence of Therapeutic BDSM™ as a structured, collaborative, and trauma-informed healing modality housed within the Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) framework. Drawing on responses from 102 participants across client, clinician, educator, and pro practitioner roles, the findings highlight widespread belief in the therapeutic potential of BDSM, tempered by caution around ethical facilitation, cultural nuance, and accessibility. The discussion situates these findings within the broader context of sexology, somatics, and community-driven care, while also introducing the role of the Kink Professional Standards Alliance (KPSA) in stewarding ethical frameworks and practitioner education. The study affirms the growing legitimacy of kink-informed healing and the need for thoughtful, collaborative models that hold power, identity, and embodiment as central to the process of integration and transformation.

Keywords: therapeutic BDSM, somatic healing, Self-Reconciliation Therapy, trauma-informed practice, culturally responsive frameworks

Author Note

Yulinda Rahman is the founder of the Kink Professional Standards Alliance (KPSA), the creator of Self-Reconciliation Therapy™, and the originator of the Therapeutic BDSM™ model. The author previously published under the name Yulinda Renee. All cited works refer to the same author. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Yulinda Rahman at yulindarenee@docyuroc.com.

INTRO

BDSM (Bondage, Discipline, Dominance, Submission, Sadism, and Masochism); has long been subject to clinical misrepresentation, pathologization, and cultural distortion. Historically framed as a symptom of mental illness, deviance, or trauma reenactment, BDSM has been excluded from frameworks of legitimate sexuality and healing within Western clinical and academic paradigms. This erasure is not merely an artifact of outdated diagnostics but is part of a broader epistemological and cultural refusal to view power, pain, pleasure, or eroticism as potential sites of transformation and agency.

Until 2013, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) explicitly pathologized BDSM under paraphilic disorders, reinforcing the idea that engagement in such practices reflected dysfunction or deviance unless proven otherwise (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2000, 2013). Even after the shift in DSM-5, which distinguishes between consensual kink and diagnosable paraphilia, the legacy of clinical suspicion remains entrenched. Practitioners often receive little to no formal training in kink-affirming care, and few educational institutions include BDSM in their sexological or psychological curricula. As a result, therapists and clinicians frequently hold implicit biases, leading to microaggressions, misdiagnosis, or re-traumatization of clients who disclose consensual BDSM participation (Kolmes, Stock, & Moser, 2006).

This erasure is further compounded by the cultural and religious ideologies embedded in Western psychological thought. Dominant therapeutic models have long been shaped by Christian morality, Cartesian dualism, and Victorian sexual repression; frameworks that split mind from body, pleasure from healing, and erotic power from relational health (Foucault, 1978; Rubin, 1984). Within these paradigms, sexuality is either medicalized or moralized, rarely viewed as an integrated expression of self, and almost never as a tool of healing. Kink, especially when it involves power exchange, pain, or ritualized surrender, directly challenges these binary constructions. It insists on a more nuanced understanding of autonomy, embodiment, and intimacy, one that many clinical models are ill-equipped to hold.

Moreover, BDSM's exclusion from clinical legitimacy is not merely conceptual; it has material consequences. Clients who use BDSM for healing purposes; whether

consciously or intuitively, often lack access to kink-informed clinicians. Professionals who recognize BDSM's therapeutic potential are left with few resources, no standardized training, and a lack of professional protection. This creates an effect wherein those most equipped to guide clients through embodied healing may feel ethically, legally, or institutionally unsupported (Henkin & Holiday, 2010).

The consequences of this erasure are especially acute for marginalized communities. For many queer, trans, disabled, Black, and neurodivergent individuals, BDSM offers a rare space of reclamation, of body, voice, and power, in a world that routinely pathologizes their existence. To deny the legitimacy of BDSM in clinical care is to deny the healing wisdom that many communities have cultivated outside of mainstream systems, often as a response to those very systems' failures.

As calls for culturally responsive, trauma-informed, and somatic approaches grow louder, the ongoing exclusion of BDSM from clinical spaces reveals the limitations of current paradigms. It underscores the need not just for inclusion, but for re-imagining what counts as healing, who gets to define it, and how embodied practices like BDSM may expand, not disrupt, the scope of ethical care.

Cultural Underpinnings of Western Resistance to Sexuality, Kink, and Power Play as Healing Tools

The resistance to BDSM; and more broadly, to sexuality as a site of healing in Western clinical and cultural frameworks is not merely a product of clinical conservatism; it is the result of a much deeper cultural lineage. This lineage is rooted in a convergence of Christian moralism, Cartesian dualism, white patriarchal colonialism, and Victorian sexual repression, all of which have historically shaped Western understandings of the body, desire, power, and pathology (Foucault, 1978; Feder, 2014; Lorde, 1984).

At the heart of this resistance lies a moral economy of suffering; the idea that healing must be clean, orderly, and contained within sanctioned emotional vocabularies like insight, talk, or forgiveness. Practices that evoke intense bodily sensation, deliberate use of power dynamics, or non-normative expressions of sexuality are seen as too chaotic, too messy, too dangerous, or too pleasurable to be taken seriously within systems that valorize control, neutrality, and "rational" therapeutic progress (McClintock, 1995; Lorde, 1984).

The roots of this discomfort stretch back to the colonial and religious shaping of Western psychological and medical models.

Within Christian moral frameworks, particularly those that influenced Euro-American cultural norms, sexuality has been historically linked with sin, temptation, and impurity. Pain, when considered "redemptive," was often divorced from agency; sanctioned only when endured passively (Scarry, 1985). The idea that one could consent to pain, play with power, or derive healing through erotic experience fundamentally violates the moral logic of that worldview.

In addition, Cartesian dualism, the philosophical split between mind and body, has contributed to the persistent devaluation of embodied experience in favor of cognitive processing. Within this logic, physicality, sensuality, and emotion are relegated to the realm of the irrational and are viewed as unreliable sources of insight or transformation (Grosz, 1994). As a result, traditional therapeutic modalities have prioritized verbal articulation and mental reframing over somatic exploration or embodied release.

Layered atop this is the historical regulation of sexuality under Victorian norms and psychoanalytic traditions that cast kink and non-heteronormative desire as signs of arrested development, perversion, or pathology (Freud, 1905/1962; Rubin, 1984). Even when sexuality has been "allowed" in therapeutic spaces, it has often been stripped of its power, reduced to biology or behavior, and divorced from its potential as a sacred, somatic, deeply relational, and a site of reparation.

Importantly, Western therapeutic models have not only ignored kink as a healing tool, they have also erased the community-based, ancestral, spiritual, and cultural practices that have long used pain, sensation, ritual, and power as forms of healing. Practices ranging from Indigenous rites of passage to African diasporic spiritual traditions have understood the transformative power of the body; particularly when engaged intentionally. The fact that these practices are often disregarded or appropriated in clinical spaces is not accidental. It is a reflection of white supremacist, colonial hierarchies of knowledge production that privilege certain forms of "science" and "healing" while rendering others illegible (Tuhiwai Smith, 1999; Fanon, 1967).

Thus, the resistance to BDSM as a healing modality is not just about the acts themselves, it is about what those acts represent. BDSM challenges normative ideas of what healing looks like, who gets to access it, and what forms of knowledge are deemed

credible. It asks practitioners and clients alike to confront their own socialization around pleasure, pain, control, and surrender. And it exposes the limitations of therapeutic models that ignore the wisdom of the body, the erotic, and the communities who have long known how to alchemize suffering into sovereignty.

To integrate BDSM into clinical healing frameworks requires more than adding kink-aware language to intake forms. It requires a cultural unlearning, a dismantling of the internalized puritanism, intellectual elitism, and settler colonial frameworks that continue to dictate what counts as "legitimate" healing. It calls for a new ethics of care, one that recognizes power play, somatic intensity, pain as purposeful, and consensual ritual as not only acceptable but potentially essential components of trauma recovery and embodied liberation.

Situating BDSM as a Somatic, Identity-Informed, and Potentially Therapeutic Modality as clinical discourse evolves to incorporate trauma-informed and body-based approaches. A growing body of scholarship and practitioner knowledge has begun to recognize the therapeutic potential of BDSM when practiced consensually, ethically, and with intention. While mainstream psychology has historically treated BDSM through pathological or behavioral lenses, this emerging perspective reframes BDSM as a somatic, identity-affirming, and relational modality that can support emotional regulation, power reclamation, and trauma integration (Moser & Kleinplatz, 2007; Nichols, 2006; Sagarin et al., 2009).

At its core, BDSM is a somatic practice. Scenes involve the intentional use of physical sensation, breath, movement, and embodied ritual. These elements interact with the nervous system in ways that can mimic, mirror, or deepen traditional somatic healing approaches. Neurobiologically, consensual BDSM has been shown to induce shifts in hormones associated with stress reduction, intimacy, safety, and pain tolerance; such as endorphins, oxytocin, and cortisol, offering parallels to established trauma interventions like EMDR, somatic experiencing, and sensorimotor therapy (Sagarin et al., 2009; Holvoet et al., 2017). For some participants, especially those with histories of dysregulation or disembodiment due to trauma, the intensity and structure of BDSM scenes can function as a controlled activation of the autonomic nervous system, followed by co-regulation, recalibration, containment, and recovery.

Importantly, BDSM is also an identity-informed practice. Engagement in

BDSM is shaped by the social, cultural, and political identities of participants, including their race, gender, class, ability, sexuality, and neurotype. For many marginalized individuals, particularly queer, trans, BIPOC, disabled, and fat-bodied people, BDSM offers a rare context in which power dynamics can be consciously inverted, safely explored, or intentionally subverted in ways that challenge dominant cultural scripts. As scholars such as Harrington and Williams (2012) have noted, BDSM provides a framework for the ritualized enactment and re-negotiation of power, often giving participants a sense of agency they have been denied in other aspects of life. In this way, BDSM becomes not just a somatic process but a political and psychological one, where identity is not only expressed but transformed.

For example, a submissive may find healing in consciously consenting to a dynamic that mirrors but is not identical to past experiences of disempowerment, now embedded with choice, care, and control. A dominant may access emotional agency through caretaking, structure, or ritualized authority in a world that otherwise renders them invisible or unsafe. Scenes that involve degradation, humiliation, or control; often misinterpreted by outsiders, can become complex sites of catharsis and reclamation when held within trust, consent, and negotiated boundaries (Ortmann & Sprott, 2012).

BDSM also emphasizes intentional relational structure, including practices such as negotiation, boundary-setting, safewords, and aftercare. These practices are not peripheral, they are central. They model and reinforce informed consent, self-awareness, communication, and repair. These relational skills have deep overlap with therapeutic goals around attachment, trust, and emotional safety. BDSM scenes, particularly those facilitated by trained professionals, can thus create containers in which clients practice relational resilience, experiment with new emotional states, and embody roles that shift internal narratives of victimhood, shame, or disempowerment.

While some critics have argued that BDSM reenacts trauma or normalizes abuse, this view often fails to distinguish between consensual, conscious engagement and unprocessed reenactment. Research suggests that BDSM participants report lower levels of psychological distress and higher levels of relationship satisfaction than non-kinky individuals (Wismeijer & van Assen, 2013). Moreover, the kink community has long operated with an internal code of ethics,

including principles like RACK (Risk-Aware Consensual Kink) and SSC, (Safe, Sane, and Consensual) which predate many contemporary conversations about trauma-informed practice. These community-derived frameworks reveal that BDSM, when practiced ethically, already contains many of the scaffolding tools that trauma healing requires: structure, informed consent, embodied pacing, clear roles, and collaborative aftercare.

Thus, BDSM can be understood as a somatic and identity-centered intervention, not one that replaces therapy, but one that complements and potentially enhances therapeutic outcomes when facilitated within a grounded, intentional, and ethically guided framework. When integrated into broader models of care, BDSM offers an invitation to reconceptualize healing itself: as something that is not always gentle, not always verbal, and not always contained within dominant clinical scripts. Sometimes, healing looks like tears held in leather. Sometimes, it sounds like "red." Sometimes, it feels like power reclaimed; one bound wrist, one deep breath, at a time.

Introducing Therapeutic BDSM and Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT)

Therapeutic BDSM is a structured, collaborative, and trauma-informed intervention that draws upon the practices of consensual BDSM to support psychological and somatic healing. It exists at the intersection of somatics, attachment theory, power analysis, and liberation psychology, offering a formalized model for integrating BDSM scenes into a broader care framework with ethical scaffolding and professional support. Developed in response to the glaring absence of kink-informed, culturally grounded, and body-centered approaches in traditional clinical spaces, Therapeutic BDSM reframes consensual power exchange, sensation play, and role-based dynamics as avenues to potent and transformative healing.

Initially conceptualized as a healing response for Black women with histories of sexual trauma, Therapeutic BDSM was born from the recognition that traditional therapeutic models often fail to adequately address the embodied dimensions of trauma, particularly for those who have been marginalized by systems of race, gender, and sexuality. For these individuals, healing is not solely cognitive or verbal; it is physical, relational, primal, and deeply tied to the reclamation of agency, voice, and choice. Through extensive community engagement, dialogue with professionals in kink, mental health, and

education, and feedback from early adopters, the model evolved beyond its original scope to become a flexible and inclusive intervention applicable across diverse identities and needs.

Therapeutic BDSM scenes are not recreational. They are intentionally designed with a healing goal in mind, and they are held within a collaborative container facilitated by a tetralogical model, a team comprised of the client, a kink-knowledgeable clinician, a trained professional BDSM practitioner (or "pro"), and a kink educator. Each role contributes distinct expertise, and their collaboration ensures that the intervention is somatically regulated, ethically boundaried, and grounded in consent. Scenes may include impact play, bondage, service dynamics, ritualized surrender, or role-play; each negotiated with care and tailored to the client's psychological and emotional goals.

These scenes are supported by rigorous pre-scene planning and post-scene integration, both of which are informed by the clinician and grounded in somatic awareness. The client is never a passive recipient. They are the architect of their own healing, with the tetralogical team serving as guides, midwives, facilitators, and guardians of the container. This model ensures that the client's psychological safety, somatic boundaries, and identity-based needs are held with nuance and care.

Therapeutic BDSM functions as an applied intervention within a larger clinical framework known as Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT). Developed as a holistic and trauma-informed modality, SRT integrates identity consciousness, nervous system education, somatic resourcing, and narrative rescripting to help clients reconnect with their bodies, reclaim their voice, and reconcile the internalized impacts of trauma. It does not require the use of BDSM, but it provides the theoretical and ethical architecture within which Therapeutic BDSM can be used responsibly and effectively when it is appropriate to the client's goals and readiness.

SRT was founded on the belief that trauma is not only what happens to us, it is what gets lodged within us, what gets repeated through behavior and belief, and what disconnects us from our inner truth. In this framework, healing becomes an act of reconciliation: with self, with power, with the body, and with relational dynamics that may have once harmed us. Therapeutic BDSM, when facilitated with attunement and consent, can provide the experiential space in which that reconciliation becomes embodied.

Unlike conventional modalities that may limit healing to cognitive reframing or insight-building, SRT and Therapeutic BDSM recognize that true healing often requires a return to the body, not just for mindfulness, but for reclamation. These models invite clients to feel their power, touch their boundaries, release their pain, and renegotiate their stories through sensation, role, and ritual.

In many ways, Therapeutic BDSM is a refusal: a refusal to believe that healing must be sterile, shame-free, or void of complexity. It is a reclaiming of kink, not only as a valid expression of identity, but as a clinical and cultural tool. A modality that, when held with skill and care, can be transformative for survivors of trauma, seekers of embodiment, and individuals looking to rescript their relationships with power and vulnerability.

As part of its professional development infrastructure, Therapeutic BDSM is taught through the Kink Professional Standards Alliance (KPSA), which also houses certification programs, advocacy, ethics frameworks (such as PERK, Principles of Ethical Relational Kink), and community-informed research and scholarship. The inclusion of BDSM as a therapeutic intervention is not incidental to this model, it is fundamental. It reflects a broader reimagining of what clinical legitimacy can look like when it centers lived experience, radical honesty, and embodied ethics.

Research Aims and Guiding Questions

The primary aim of this article is to examine how BDSM, when practiced with consent, intention, and ethical structure, can function as a therapeutic intervention within somatic and trauma-informed healing frameworks. Specifically, it seeks to explore how the emerging model of Therapeutic BDSM, situated within the broader clinical framework of Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT), offers a structured and collaborative approach to healing that resists dominant pathologizing narratives and expands the scope of legitimate care.

This inquiry is rooted in both a critique and an offering: a critique of the historical exclusion of embodied, erotic, and community-informed practices from clinical legitimacy, and an offering of a new paradigm for integrating kink-based healing into ethical, trauma-informed models of care. It also responds to the growing demand from clients, professionals, and communities, for frameworks that honor the complexity of

identity, power, and somatic experience within healing work.

Through qualitative and descriptive data gathered from the "Exploring Perspectives on Therapeutic BDSM" survey, this research aims to amplify the voices of community members and professionals who have engaged with or are interested in Therapeutic BDSM. Their perspectives offer critical insight into the perceived benefits, risks, gaps, and ethical considerations of this work, and help shape an emergent understanding of how kink-informed healing is already unfolding in practice.

To guide this inquiry, the article is structured around the following key research questions:

1. What are the perceived therapeutic potentials and limitations of BDSM-based practices within healing contexts, particularly when approached through structured, trauma-informed frameworks?
2. How do community members; including clients, clinicians, educators, and pro practitioners, understand the role of power, sensation, and consent in the context of healing through BDSM?
3. What are the current cultural, institutional, and clinical barriers to recognizing Therapeutic BDSM as a legitimate healing intervention?
4. In what ways does Therapeutic BDSM, as a structured intervention within the Self-Reconciliation Therapy model, address gaps in traditional trauma therapy, especially for historically marginalized populations?
5. What ethical frameworks, collaborative models, and professional structures are needed to ensure that BDSM-based healing work is conducted responsibly, safely, and inclusively?
6. What tensions arise between clinical legitimacy and community wisdom in the development and implementation of kink-informed care? How can these tensions be navigated with integrity?

By exploring these questions, this article contributes to the growing body of scholarship on somatic, erotic, and culturally responsive healing modalities, while also offering a grounded analysis of how BDSM, often maligned or misunderstood, can serve as a site of not only resistance, but profound reconciliation.

Lit Review

The Legitimacy Struggles of Sexology as a Field

Sexology, the scientific study of human sexual behavior, has existed in a state of epistemic tension since its inception. While foundational to understanding human psychology and relational health, it has historically been relegated to the margins of both medicine and psychology, viewed as either a morally fraught curiosity or a clinical liability. The marginalization of sexology is not accidental, but rather a reflection of the deep-seated discomfort within Western science, religion, and public morality with topics that implicate desire, embodiment, power, and pleasure (Irvine, 2005; Weeks, 1985).

The origins of modern sexology in the late 19th and early 20th centuries were marked by attempts to "objectively" document and classify sexual behavior. Figures such as Richard von Krafft-Ebing, Magnus Hirschfeld, and Havelock Ellis laid the groundwork for the field, combining empirical observation with moral commentary. While some of these early sexologists were progressive for their time; Hirschfeld, for example, advocated for the decriminalization of homosexuality and trans rights, their work was often situated within frameworks of pathology, eugenics, and Eurocentric normalcy (Tiefer, 2004; Bullough, 1994). The tendency to medicalize and classify "deviant" sexualities contributed to the marginalization of sexology within the scientific community, as it was seen as too speculative, morally suspect, or socially dangerous.

By the mid-20th century, the groundbreaking research of Alfred Kinsey and later William Masters and Virginia Johnson began to shift public perception of sex research. Kinsey's large-scale studies on male and female sexual behavior (1948, 1953) revealed the widespread nature of practices previously considered rare or perverse; such as masturbation, same-sex desire, and non-marital sex. Yet even as these studies gained public attention, they were met with institutional backlash. Kinsey's funding was withdrawn under political pressure, and his work was condemned by religious groups, conservative legislators, and segments of the medical establishment. Similarly, the work of Masters and Johnson, though pivotal in legitimizing sexual dysfunction as a medical issue, remained constrained within heteronormative models and rarely challenged dominant norms around gender, race, or power (Irvine, 2005; Tiefer, 1995).

As psychology emerged as a distinct discipline, sexuality was often absorbed into broader categories like psychopathology, reproductive health, or behavioral psychology and frequently stripped of its relational, cultural, and political dimensions. The rise of behaviorism and later cognitive psychology further sidelined sexology, favoring observable behavior and internal cognition over embodied or affective experience. Simultaneously, psychoanalytic models, while centered on sexuality in theory, often rendered non-normative sexualities as neuroses, reinforcing the idea that kink, desire, or gender variance were inherently disordered (Freud, 1905/1962; Moser & Kleinplatz, 2007).

Even in more recent decades, the field of sexology has struggled for legitimacy within academic and medical institutions. It is rarely housed in standalone departments at universities and is often fragmented across disciplines such as public health, gender studies, psychiatry, or education. Most graduate psychology programs in North America still lack comprehensive sexuality curricula (Hall et al., 2012), and few clinicians receive training in even basic topics like sexual development, consent, or relational power, let alone BDSM, kink, or erotic healing. This educational void reflects a longstanding institutional perception of sexology as an "extra" or specialized topic rather than a fundamental dimension of human wellbeing.

Moreover, sexology has faced barriers to funding and publication. Granting agencies, institutional review boards, and journal editors often deem sexological research, particularly when it involves non-normative populations or practices as too controversial or “unfit for public consumption” (Hinderliter, 2009; Sprankle et al., 2018). These gatekeeping practices reinforce the notion that sex research is inherently suspect, and that its practitioners are operating at the boundaries of acceptability.

This history of marginalization continues to shape contemporary perceptions of sexology. It informs why models like Therapeutic BDSM, which emerge from sexological inquiry, somatic awareness, and community-based practice are often dismissed as fringe, unscientific, or ethically questionable. The very foundations of sexology challenge dominant clinical ideologies by centering the body, the erotic, and the socially constructed nature of ‘normalcy.’ As such, they disrupt the institutional structures that maintain control

over what kinds of knowledge, healing, and human experience are considered legitimate.

Somatic and Alternative Healing Approaches

In recent decades, somatic and alternative healing approaches have gained increasing recognition as essential components of trauma-informed care. These modalities, which include somatic experiencing (Levine, 1997), sensorimotor psychotherapy (Ogden, Minton, & Pain, 2006), and body-based interventions such as yoga, breathwork, and movement therapy, are grounded in the understanding that trauma is not only a psychological event but a physiological imprint that lives in the body. As such, recovery from trauma must involve more than cognitive reframing or narrative processing; it must also engage the nervous system, the body's implicit memory, and the sensory-motor patterns that shape one's relationship to safety, power, and embodiment (van der Kolk, 2014).

Seminal thinkers in trauma theory and body-based practice, such as Bessel van der Kolk, Peter Levine, and Pat Ogden, have articulated the limitations of talk therapy alone in addressing trauma that is stored in the body. Van der Kolk (2014) asserts that "the body keeps the score," noting that individuals with histories of complex trauma often remain dysregulated despite years of cognitive therapy. Levine (1997), through his work in somatic experiencing, describes trauma as "frozen" biological responses that require discharge and reintegration through carefully titrated bodily activation. Ogden et al. (2006) developed sensorimotor psychotherapy as a way to combine somatic awareness with attachment theory and trauma processing, emphasizing that the body is both a source of distress and a potential site of resolution.

These approaches have increasingly entered mainstream therapeutic discourse, especially in light of the growing understanding of the autonomic nervous system's role in trauma and healing (Porges, 2011). Concepts such as "fight, flight, freeze, and fawn," "window of tolerance," and "polyvagal theory" have helped reframe trauma from a pathology of the mind to a pattern of physiological survival responses. Somatic practices, in this context, are not fringe, they are fundamental.

And yet, despite the growing evidence base and practitioner advocacy, somatic and alternative modalities continue to be viewed with skepticism in many traditional clinical settings, particularly those

aligned with strictly evidence-based, manualized, or medically coded forms of care. Insurance reimbursement systems, academic training programs, and professional licensure boards tend to prioritize cognitive-behavioral models (such as CBT or DBT), psychopharmacology, or short-term "outcome-focused" treatments. As a result, somatic therapies are often categorized as complementary or adjunctive rather than central, frequently left to the realm of "wellness" rather than "treatment."

This skepticism is reinforced by a positivist bias in many psychological research paradigms, which privilege quantifiable, replicable outcomes over the subjective, embodied, and often nonlinear nature of somatic healing. The very messiness and depth that make somatic practices effective for trauma survivors are the same features that make them difficult to measure using traditional scientific tools. Moreover, the field's emphasis on practitioner embodiment, client co-regulation, and intuitive pacing challenges the notion that healing must be standardized, dispassionate, or technician-driven.

Despite these institutional barriers, the importance of body-based healing continues to be affirmed by trauma survivors, particularly those whose experiences are complex, developmental, or compounded by social marginalization. For individuals whose trauma includes medical violence, sexual assault, racialized harm, or disconnection from their body due to stigma or oppression, cognitive interventions may feel abstract, insufficient, or even retraumatizing. Somatic work, by contrast, offers a path toward regaining body sovereignty, relearning internal safety cues, and reconnecting with the body not as just a site of pain, but as a source of wisdom, boundary, and pleasure.

This is particularly relevant when considering modalities such as Therapeutic BDSM, which blend intentional somatic engagement with psychological rescripting. Like somatic therapies, Therapeutic BDSM centers the body as both a repository of trauma and a channel for healing. Scenes are structured to evoke sensation, pattern reorganization, and nervous system activation followed by grounding and integration. In many ways, Therapeutic BDSM builds upon the foundational insights of somatic pioneers while also pushing the envelope further by integrating power, eroticism, and ritual into the healing process.

As trauma care continues to evolve, it is imperative that somatic and alternative practices not be viewed as fringe supplements but as core competencies in

working with embodied histories of harm. The same resistance that has long marginalized somatics is now being applied to kink-based healing modalities, revealing not just a bias against the body, but against certain kinds of bodies, power, and desire. Recognizing this resistance is critical in building more inclusive, responsive, and transformative models of care.

BDSM and Therapeutic Possibilities

Although historically framed through pathologizing lenses, BDSM is increasingly being recognized as a domain of psychological richness, relational complexity, and therapeutic potential. A growing body of empirical and theoretical work has begun to explore the ways that consensual BDSM engagements; particularly those characterized by negotiated power exchange, sensory intensity, and structured aftercare, can facilitate emotional regulation, intimacy, and meaning-making for participants. These studies challenge outdated clinical narratives that associate BDSM with trauma reenactment, psychopathology, or moral deviance and instead position BDSM as a site of agency, resilience, and transformation (Nichols, 2006; Kolmes, Stock, & Moser, 2006; Henkin & Holiday, 2010).

Early and Emerging Research

Pioneering empirical work by Sagarin et al. (2009) demonstrated that participation in consensual BDSM scenes can lead to positive physiological outcomes, including reductions in cortisol; a stress hormone, and increases in endorphins and oxytocin, neurochemicals associated with trust, bonding, and well-being. These hormonal shifts are consistent with findings in trauma research around the role of co-regulation, embodied activation, and safe emotional intensity in supporting healing. Participants in these studies often described BDSM scenes as emotionally connective and psychologically affirming.

Psychological studies have also begun to document the broader benefits of BDSM engagement. In a large-scale study of BDSM practitioners, Wismeijer and van Assen (2013) found that individuals who identified as kinky scored higher than control groups on measures of well-being, relationship satisfaction, and self-awareness. Contrary to popular stereotypes, BDSM participants were not more likely to have experienced childhood trauma and did not exhibit higher levels of psychological dysfunction. These findings suggest that BDSM, when practiced consensually, may

correlate with adaptive relational and emotional traits rather than dysfunction.

In the clinical sphere, practitioners such as Nichols (2006), Henkin and Holiday (2010), and Kolmes et al. (2006) have offered qualitative and theoretical insights into the therapeutic dimensions of BDSM. These authors emphasize the importance of cultural competence, affirmative care, and the need to distinguish between consensual kink and abuse. Their work has helped to shift the discourse from pathology to possibility, a reorientation that opens space for BDSM to be recognized not just as a sexual variation, but as a potential healing modality.

From Pathology to Possibility

Historically, BDSM was situated within the diagnostic framework of paraphilic disorders, conflated with sadism, masochism, or deviant sexual behavior (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). This pathologization was formally challenged with the release of the DSM-5, which distinguished between consensual kink and clinically diagnosable paraphilias (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Nonetheless, the legacy of this framing continues to influence therapeutic bias, risk assessment, and professional ethics.

Reframing BDSM as a potential healing tool requires a shift from models that see intensity, power play, or pain as inherently dangerous, to ones that understand these experiences as ritualized, intentional, and co-created. Within BDSM, pain is not necessarily harm; power is not necessarily coercion; and surrender is not inherently disempowering. Rather, these dynamics, when held within explicit consent and emotional attunement, can facilitate somatic release, narrative rescripting, and emotional catharsis (Ortmann & Sprott, 2012).

The reframing of BDSM from pathology to possibility parallels broader shifts in trauma care, especially the recognition that healing is not always verbal, linear, or passive. Like somatic experiencing or EMDR, BDSM involves states of activation and discharge, containment and release, regulation and return. Scenes are often negotiated with a level of detail that exceeds many clinical interventions, and aftercare practices emphasize co-regulation, emotional processing, integration, and safety, all key elements of trauma integration.

Critique of Current Literature

While these studies and practitioner insights represent important steps forward, the current literature on BDSM and healing remains

limited in scope and depth. There are three primary limitations worth noting:

1. Lack of Cultural and Identity Nuance

Much of the existing research treats BDSM participants as a relatively homogenous group; predominantly white, cisgender, middle-class, and able-bodied. Few studies explore how BDSM functions as a space of healing for BIPOC, queer, trans, disabled, fat, or neurodivergent individuals. This omission is critical, as BDSM can offer a unique form of reclamation and power rescripting for individuals whose bodies and identities are otherwise marginalized or pathologized by dominant culture (Taylor & Ussher, 2001; Harrington & Williams, 2012).

2. Absence of Structured Integration Frameworks

Although research has acknowledged the emotional and physiological impacts of BDSM scenes, little attention has been paid to how these experiences can be formally integrated into therapeutic treatment plans. There is currently no widespread clinical model or training infrastructure that guides practitioners in how to ethically and competently support clients who engage in BDSM for healing purposes. This creates a gap between experiential possibility and clinical legitimacy.

3. Focus on What Happens, Not How It Heals

Much of the literature focuses on the descriptive aspects of BDSM (i.e., who participates, what practices are common, what psychological traits are present) rather than examining BDSM as a facilitated intervention. There is a lack of theory and data around how BDSM can be intentionally leveraged as a therapeutic tool, what constitutes readiness or contraindication, and how outcomes can be ethically evaluated. Without structured intervention models, the therapeutic aspects of BDSM risk being dismissed as incidental or anecdotal rather than systematic.

In light of these gaps, Therapeutic BDSM emerges not as a radical departure, but as a logical evolution, an applied model that takes the existing foundations of somatic, relational, and psychological benefit and situates them within a container of trauma-informed practice, ethical clarity, and collaborative care. This model represents a new frontier in both sexology and trauma treatment; one that acknowledges that the

healing body is not just a thinking mind, but a site of feeling, power, and agency.

Identity, Marginalization, and Healing: BDSM as Reclamation

BDSM, when practiced consensually and intentionally, holds potential not only as a somatic and relational tool, but also as a mode of political and identity-based reclamation. For queer, trans, BIPOC, disabled, neurodivergent, and fat-bodied individuals, BDSM can offer a rare space in which dominant narratives of disempowerment are actively challenged, inverted, and re-scripted through the embodied negotiation of power, sensation, vulnerability, and control.

Mainstream representations of BDSM often center white, thin, cisgender, able-bodied practitioners, ignoring the long-standing ways that marginalized communities have used kink practices for resistance, ritual, and re-embodiment. For individuals living at the intersection of systemic violence; whether through racism, fatphobia, ableism, transphobia, or sexual trauma, BDSM can become a sacred site of return to the body, to agency, and to relational dynamics that are shaped by choice rather than imposed by oppression (Williams, 2014; Weiss, 2011).

To reclaim the body; particularly one that has been surveilled, medicalized, violated, or erased, is an act of both personal and collective resistance. Engaging in BDSM practices such as bondage, service dynamics, dominance, or consensual degradation allows individuals to consciously reinhabit roles that may have been previously assigned to them through oppression, trauma, or cultural stereotyping, but now with agency and intention.

For example, a fat Black femme submissive may reclaim the erotic within a dynamic that affirms their desirability and centers their consent, subverting cultural narratives that render their body deviant or invisible. A disabled person might explore sensation play or controlled immobility within a context where their needs are centered and not pathologized. A trans person may take on a dominant role that affirms their power, gender, and embodiment outside the rigid binaries they are often forced into. These are not merely ‘acts’, they are acts of embodied resistance, self-definition, and relational transformation (Pyle & Klein, 2021; Queen & Schimel, 1997).

Consent and Power Exchange as Subversion

In dominant culture, power is often hierarchical, imposed, and non-consensual. In BDSM, power is negotiated, constructed, and most importantly, reversible. This distinction is critical. Within a BDSM context, roles such as Dominant and Submissive, Top and Bottom, are not fixed reflections of social status; they are mutually agreed-upon frameworks for play, ritual, and transformation. Power is something that can be held, shared, intensified, or surrendered with full consent.

For individuals who have experienced non-consensual power dynamics, whether in interpersonal violence, medical institutions, or systemic oppression, this ability to consciously enter, structure, and exit a power dynamic can be deeply healing. It restores agency, reframes vulnerability, and offers a way to engage with intensity on their own terms.

As therapist and kink educator Michael Sweeney (2021) notes, "Consent is not just permission; it is a reclamation of self-determination." Within BDSM, participants are often expected to articulate their needs, name their limits, and co-create the boundaries of a scene. This relational scaffolding; consent negotiation, safe words, aftercare, and debriefing, models healthy dynamics of mutual respect, interdependence, and autonomy. These are not just "tools of the scene", they are life skills often denied to those whose bodies and identities have been consistently violated or devalued.

Lack of Intersectional Research

Despite the powerful intersection of BDSM and identity-based healing, very little empirical research has centered these realities. The majority of BDSM studies remain descriptive and demographically narrow, frequently drawing from white, cisgender, middle-class, and able-bodied populations (Taylor & Ussher, 2001; Bauer, 2016). Intersectional analyses, particularly those centering Black, Indigenous, disabled, fat, trans, or working-class practitioners are rare, and often emerge from community narratives or zines rather than peer-reviewed journals.

Moreover, few clinical studies explore how BDSM intersects with racialized trauma, fat embodiment, disability justice, or gendered disassociation, nor do they ask how BDSM can function as an intentional intervention for navigating or repairing these wounds. This omission reinforces the cultural narrative that kink is a neutral or "lifestyle-based" preference, rather than a culturally situated, potentially reparative practice for

those whose bodies have long been sites of state, social, or interpersonal violence.

Even within affirming clinical literature, these omissions persist. Calls for 'kink-aware' therapy often fail to address how race, class, and colonial legacies shape the therapeutic encounter, and how kink itself is practiced differently across cultures and communities. Without such nuance, practitioners risk replicating the very dynamics of disempowerment that clients may be seeking to heal through kink.

As such, the development of models like Therapeutic BDSM, situated within frameworks like Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT), is not only innovative but urgent. These models center the body as a political, historical, and spiritual site, not merely a sexual one. They offer structured, collaborative spaces in which the unique experiences of marginalized individuals are not only acknowledged, but integrated into the healing process. The inclusion of kink educators, pro practitioners, and clinicians in a tetralogical team reflects a deeper commitment to relational and cultural accountability, particularly when supporting those whose identities have historically been excluded from both therapeutic and sexual legitimacy.

Gaps the Literature Review Will Highlight

While the existing literature on BDSM has advanced significantly over the past two decades, moving from pathologizing assumptions towards more affirmative and descriptive accounts, significant gaps still remain. These gaps are not simply absences of data; they reflect deeper structural exclusions in who produces knowledge, whose experiences are centered, and what is considered 'legitimate' in clinical and academic discourse. The following are key limitations that this article and the emerging model of Therapeutic BDSM begin to address:

1. Lack of Research on Collaborative Healing Models

Current literature on BDSM, even when affirming, tends to treat healing and kink as separate domains: therapeutic work belongs to the clinician, while kink is relegated to private or community-based spaces. There is little to no scholarly examination of what it means to formally and ethically collaborate across professional roles in service of healing. The integration of pro practitioners (i.e., individuals with skill in BDSM scene facilitation) alongside mental health

clinicians and educators has not yet been theorized or empirically explored.

This absence leaves both clinicians and pro practitioners without structured guidance on how to work together, and more importantly, leaves clients without a comprehensive model of care that can safely hold the complexity of their embodied, relational, and psychological experiences. The Therapeutic BDSM model, with its inclusion of the tetralogical team: client, clinician, pro practitioner, and educator, directly addresses this by providing a blueprint for collaborative, cross-disciplinary healing work that honors the unique expertise each role brings.

2. No Exploration of Tetralogical Frameworks

To date, there are no models in the clinical or academic literature that articulate a tetralogical framework for healing. Therapeutic interventions tend to center dyadic relationships (client and therapist), or in some cases, triadic models in systems or family therapy. However, healing practices that involve multiple professional roles across different sectors; mental health, kink practice, and community education remain undocumented.

The tetralogical model developed within the Therapeutic BDSM framework is a unique and intentional departure from traditional models of care. It acknowledges that no single provider can or should hold all aspects of a client's somatic, psychological, relational, and erotic healing. The inclusion of the kink educator, often overlooked entirely in clinical discussions, recognizes that community-rooted knowledge and critical consciousness are integral to ethical practice, particularly when navigating systems of power, identity, and desire.

3.Little-to-No Community-Driven Methodology in BDSM Healing Research

While the kink community has long developed its own ethical codes (e.g., RACK, SSC) and peer education practices, these contributions have not been systematically included in academic or clinical research. Most studies on BDSM rely on external observation, psychological inventories, or medicalized metrics, rather than community-based participatory research (CBPR) or other emancipatory methods that center practitioners, clients, and educators as co-producers of knowledge.

This lack of epistemic reciprocity limits the richness of existing scholarship and reinforces a colonial model of research in which outsiders "study" marginalized communities without engaging their wisdom, practices, or lived experiences. The Therapeutic BDSM model, and the research emerging from the Kink Professional Standards Alliance (KPSA), explicitly seek to reverse this pattern by incorporating community voice, practitioner insights, and client reflections into the formation of standards, training, and evaluation.

---

4. Very Little Centering of Black and BIPOC Experiences in Regards to BDSM and Healing

Although BDSM has long been practiced across cultures and communities, little research to date centers Black, Indigenous, and people of color (BIPOC) experiences within kink, especially in therapeutic contexts. When BIPOC individuals are represented, they are often treated as statistical minorities within predominantly white samples, rather than as populations whose specific histories, traumas, and cultural lineages might shape their engagement with BDSM in distinct and meaningful ways (Fennell, 2022; Bauer, 2016).

This erasure is particularly harmful given the intersectional nature of trauma that many BIPOC individuals face; including racialized violence, sexual abuse, medical neglect, and systemic disenfranchisement. For these individuals, BDSM may offer a reparative experience. A way to engage power, vulnerability, and embodiment in ways that affirm their agency, dignity, and sovereignty. The absence of literature on this intersection both reflects and perpetuates the broader marginalization of BIPOC bodies, narratives, and healing practices within clinical spaces.

Therapeutic BDSM was originally formulated in direct response to this gap, specifically as a healing modality for Black women survivors of sexual trauma. As the model expanded, it retained this foundational commitment to cultural specificity, ensuring that race, gender, sexuality, and other identity markers are not treated as 'add-ons,' but as central to the process of healing itself.

---

5. No Current Frameworks Like SRT to House BDSM Healing Work Clinically

While some clinicians and researchers have begun to explore BDSM's therapeutic dimensions, there is no existing clinical framework designed to house, guide, and ethically structure this work. Practitioners working with clients who engage in BDSM are often left to improvise without training, adopt general trauma-informed techniques without understanding kink dynamics, or refer clients back to community spaces without formal integration.

Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) fills this critical gap. It provides a comprehensive, trauma-informed framework that integrates identity exploration, somatic regulation, attachment work, and narrative rescripting. Within SRT, Therapeutic BDSM functions as an intervention. Not the entirety of the process, but a specific and potent tool used when appropriate, supported by clinical preparation, ethical negotiation, and collaborative aftercare. In this way, SRT provides both the theoretical grounding and the practical scaffolding to bring BDSM-based healing into clinical spaces without dilution or harm.

This model offers something that current literature does not: a way to honor the sacredness of BDSM, the complexity of trauma, and the necessity of ethical structure, all within a framework that values community voice, cultural humility, and embodied care.

Methodology

This study employed a community-based, qualitative, and exploratory research design to examine the perspectives, needs, and perceived potential of Therapeutic BDSM as a healing modality. Rooted in liberation psychology, trauma-informed care, and participatory research ethics, the methodology reflects a deliberate departure from traditional, hierarchical models of psychological inquiry. It centers the lived experience of community members, practitioners, and educators who engage with BDSM not only as a personal practice but as a pathway for healing, identity reclamation, and embodied transformation.

Rather than seeking universal or replicable outcomes, this research prioritizes voice, nuance, and complexity, particularly as it emerges from communities historically excluded from both mental health care and academic research. The methodological framework reflects the values embedded in Therapeutic BDSM and Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT): collaboration, consent, intentional power-sharing, and contextualized care.

Research Design and Epistemological Framework

This project was guided by principles of Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR), an approach that challenges extractive research models by involving participants as co-constructors of knowledge rather than passive subjects (Minkler & Wallerstein, 2008). CBPR is especially appropriate for research with marginalized communities and stigmatized practices such as BDSM, where institutional mistrust and epistemic violence are often present. This approach was further informed by Black feminist epistemology, which emphasizes the legitimacy of lived experience, embodiment, and storytelling as forms of knowing (Collins, 2000).

The methodological stance is also explicitly anti-carceral and anti-pathologizing: it refuses to frame BDSM practices through diagnostic or risk-based frameworks and instead situates them within larger histories of resistance, reclamation, and creative survival. The study's structure reflects an ethic of reciprocity, where participants are not only sources of data but participants in a larger cultural dialogue about healing, power, and legitimacy.

Data Collection

Data was collected via an anonymous, web-based survey titled "Exploring Perspectives on Therapeutic BDSM," which included both closed and open-ended questions. The survey was designed to gather insights from individuals who identified as practitioners, educators, clinicians, clients, or curious community members with interest in the intersection of BDSM and healing.

The survey asked participants about:

- Familiarity with BDSM and its healing potential
- Awareness of Therapeutic BDSM and SRT
- Beliefs about the role of ethics and professional standards in kink-based healing
- Openness to engaging in or facilitating Therapeutic BDSM
- Concerns, hesitations, and desired supports
- Reflections on identity, trauma, embodiment, and readiness

Open-ended responses allowed participants to share personal experiences, insights, and cultural concerns that might not emerge through structured questions alone. The survey was hosted on a secure platform and remained open for a 10-week collection period.

Recruitment Strategy

Participants were recruited through a networked community approach, including:

- Social media platforms (Instagram, Facebook, LinkedIn)
- Professional and educational listservs and networks
- Word-of-mouth sharing through trusted kink, mental health, and educator circles
- Direct outreach to alumni of kink-conscious training programs

The recruitment strategy prioritized accessibility, privacy, and informed consent. Participants were provided with a clear description of the study's purpose, their rights, and the voluntary nature of their participation. No identifying information was required.

This non-random sampling approach reflects the exploratory nature of the research and aligns with best practices in participatory studies of marginalized or stigmatized communities. While this method limits generalizability, it allows for depth, nuance, and cultural specificity.

Participant Overview

Participants self-identified across multiple overlapping identities, including trauma survivors, BIPOC individuals, LGBTQIA+ participants, and kink practitioners. These identities are detailed more fully in the Results section where they contextualize participants' responses.

Data Analysis

Quantitative responses were analyzed descriptively to identify trends, patterns, and key indicators of interest or concern. Qualitative responses were reviewed thematically, using an iterative coding process that reflected the research questions and emergent narratives. Analysis was grounded in interpretive phenomenology and critical thematic analysis, focusing on the subjective meanings participants assigned to their experiences, desires, and perceived barriers.

In keeping with CBPR principles, early insights from the data were shared with advisors, practitioners, and community members involved in the development of Therapeutic BDSM, both to validate findings and to inform the continued evolution of the model.

Limitations

This study acknowledges several limitations:

- Convenience sampling limits generalizability to broader populations
- Self-selection bias may attract those already curious or affirming toward BDSM and healing
- Lack of formal demographic controls prevents intersectional analysis at this stage
- The survey reflects early-stage exploration rather than longitudinal outcomes or scene-based intervention data

While the survey included a question asking participants "Which of the following identities describe you?", allowing for multiple selections and self-description, this study did not apply formal demographic controls in the traditional quantitative sense (e.g., fixed categorical variables numerically coded for statistical inference). This was an intentional methodological choice aligned with the study's community-based, liberation-focused, and trauma-informed approach, prioritizing identity nuance over statistical generalizability. Participants were invited to self-identify across intersecting domains such as race, gender, sexuality, ability, and body size in ways that reflect their lived experience rather than conforming to institutional census categories.

While this limits the capacity for structured subgroup comparisons using inferential statistics, it enhances the depth, richness, and cultural specificity of the data, particularly among populations whose identities are often flattened or misrepresented in clinical research. Future iterations of this research may benefit from the addition of layered demographic mapping that maintains participant agency while allowing for more granular analysis across identity groups.

However, these limitations are offset by the richness of the qualitative data, the cultural relevance of the narratives gathered, and the ethical alignment of the methodology with the values of the work being studied.

Results

The findings from the "Exploring Perspectives on Therapeutic BDSM" survey reflect strong interest, cautious optimism, and a shared sense of need among clients, clinicians, educators, and pro practitioners. Responses highlighted a growing recognition of BDSM's therapeutic potential, alongside calls for ethical scaffolding, identity-informed practice, and broader accessibility. The data also revealed a critical gap between

what participants perceive Therapeutic BDSM to be and how it has been formally defined by its originator; as a collaborative, structured, and trauma-informed intervention housed within the Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) model.

Demographics

Participants were invited to select and/or describe identities that felt meaningful to their experience. This open-ended, multi-select format reflected the study's intention to center lived experience and intersectionality rather than impose rigid demographic categories.

Of the 102 participants, most selected multiple, overlapping identities. The most frequently selected were:

- Survivor of trauma (51%)
- LGBTQIA+ (41%)
- Clinician or therapist (37%)
- Neurodivergent (36%)
- BIPOC (33%)
- Kink/BDSM pro or lifestyle practitioner (32%)
- Educator or researcher (24%)
- Disabled or chronically ill (16%)

Several respondents also selected 'Other' and wrote in further self-descriptions. These open-text entries deepened the understanding of participants' lived experiences. Identities included:

- Cultural and racial specificity, such as "Black woman," "Biracial," "Mexican American," and "woman of color"
- Neurotypes such as ADHD, AuDHD, and dyslexia
- Mental health diagnoses, including PTSD, depression, anxiety, and panic disorders
- Survivorship experiences encompassing childhood abuse, sexual assault, family-based trauma, and chronic illness (e.g., autoimmune conditions, IBS, ME)
- Professional roles including sexual vitality coaches, mental health technicians, physical therapists, and workshop facilitators
- Relational and sexual identities such as queer, polyamorous, lesbian, and masc-presenting Doms

Others used the space to reflect on their orientation to kink, noting experiences like "testing limits," "being taken advantage of by teenagers," or simply stating "I enjoy practicing kink." One respondent shared organizing workshops with over 40 attendees

per session, suggesting that some participants play leadership or educational roles within their communities.

This intersectional makeup underscores the deep relevance of Therapeutic BDSM to populations who have historically been marginalized by clinical models, and for whom kink is not just erotic but potentially reparative. It also affirms that the participants in this study were not hypothetical stakeholders but real people already navigating BDSM through the lenses of identity, trauma, and healing.

Quantitative Trends

Familiarity with BDSM: The vast majority of respondents (approximately 95%) reported familiarity with BDSM practices. Many identified as current or former practitioners, clients, or community members with personal or professional engagement in kink. Specifically, 30% identified as "somewhat familiar," 28% as having "extensive experience," and 20% as regular practitioners. Only a small subset described themselves as new or in early exploration.

Awareness of Therapeutic BDSM:

Roughly half of participants (around 45%) indicated initial familiarity with the term Therapeutic BDSM. However, follow-up responses revealed a wide variance in understanding. Most equated the term with "BDSM that feels healing" or "kink in therapeutic settings," without recognizing it as a formalized intervention grounded in ethical structure, somatic practice, and tetralogical collaboration. After being introduced to the definition used in this study, a striking 84% expressed interest in learning more, indicating strong openness once the framework was clarified.

Belief in Healing Potential:

A significant 89% of participants affirmed that they had personally experienced BDSM as emotionally healing, transformative, or meaningful. Additionally, 91% agreed with the broader idea that BDSM can be therapeutic when practiced with ethical intent and conscious design. This supports the foundational claim of Therapeutic BDSM; that power exchange, when facilitated responsibly, can enable trauma integration and embodied healing.

Support for Ethical Standards:

An overwhelming 97% of participants agreed that ethical standards and professional training are necessary when BDSM is used in

healing or clinical contexts. Responses emphasized the importance of trauma-informed practice, role clarity, and cultural responsiveness, highlighting a clear community mandate for accountability and harm prevention.

Role-Specific Interest in Engagement:

- 71% of clinicians expressed willingness to integrate Therapeutic BDSM into their clinical work, provided they receive adequate training and supervision.
- 88% of pro practitioners indicated strong interest in structured collaboration with clinicians and educators.
- 94% of clients and client-facing participants expressed a desire for access to trained professionals who can ethically and competently support kink-based healing.

Interpretation

These findings reflect more than passive agreement. They demonstrate a readiness within the community for structured, ethical, and intentional approaches to kink-based healing. Participants are not merely curious; they are seeking frameworks like Therapeutic BDSM that are rooted in ethics, embodiment, and equity. The near-universal support for ethical guidelines affirms the urgency of efforts like those undertaken by the Kink Professional Standards Alliance (KPSA), which aims to provide the training, oversight, and cultural accountability required to meet this emerging need.

Qualitative Themes

Thematic analysis of open-ended responses revealed five core themes that deepen the quantitative findings and reflect the lived concerns, values, and aspirations of participants.

Theme 1: Safety, Trust, and Ethical Responsibility

Participants across all roles expressed the importance of emotional, physical, and psychological safety as a non-negotiable foundation for using BDSM in any healing capacity. Many responses emphasized that ethical facilitation requires more than informed consent; it also demands relational integrity, trauma-informed pacing, containment, and role clarity.

> *"This work requires more than consent, it requires containment,*

> *capacity, and skill." "It cannot become another therapy tool where the therapist is unaware of the deep impact they're facilitating."*

> *"Client's autonomy first. Therapists and sex workers must remember the client is in charge of their healing."*

Participants highlighted the importance of understanding transference, countertransference, and power dynamics, especially in scenes that intersect with trauma. There was widespread agreement that training must go beyond technique to include emotional intelligence, somatic attunement, and cultural responsiveness.

This feedback affirms the need for ethical frameworks like PERK (Principles of Ethical Relational Kink) and structured training protocols within the Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) model to guide those facilitating therapeutic kink work.

Theme 2: Recognition of Therapeutic Potential

Many participants described BDSM as having already functioned as a healing space for them, whether through reclaiming autonomy, releasing grief, reconnecting with embodiment, or engaging in emotional surrender. Participants framed BDSM not only as a modality of pain or pleasure, but as a transformational container for story revision and energetic release.

> *"BDSM saved my life. It was the first time I had control over what happened to my body."*

> *"Scenes have helped me process fear and grief more than years of therapy." "I railed against my submission until I realized it gave me a choice. Now I crave it as a spiritual space."*

Notably, participants distinguished between BDSM as inherently therapeutic and BDSM as made therapeutic through structure, intention, and preparation. Several noted a desire for access to kink-based healing even when not trauma-driven:

> *"Sometimes it feels like you have to be 'traumatized enough' to access this model. What if I just want to explore it as healing, not repair?"*

This highlights the need for Therapeutic BDSM to hold space not only for trauma

integration, but for growth, self-discovery, and somatic evolution.

Theme 3: Skepticism Toward Credentialing and Professional Gatekeeping

While support for ethical structure was widespread, participants expressed critical reflections on who gets to facilitate, and under what conditions. Many were cautious about recreating exclusionary credentialing models that prioritize formal degrees over lived experience and embodied wisdom.

> *"We need standards, but not hierarchy. Don't make this something only licensed clinicians can do."*
>
> *"Degrees are not the only valid form of knowledge. We need to honor lived experience."*
>
> *"I've seen therapists misuse kink language to try and be 'edgy,' and it often results in harm."*

This theme reflects the tension between professional legitimacy and community accountability, a balance that the tetralogical model with roles for clinician, pro practitioner, educator, and client explicitly addresses. It also underscores the importance of educational pathways that are accessible, trauma-informed, and grounded in humility.

Theme 4: Identity, Power, and the Politics of Healing

Participants from BIPOC, queer, trans, disabled, neurodivergent, and fat communities described BDSM as a profound site of agency reclamation and spiritual liberation, particularly in a world that often strips them of power, bodily autonomy, or desirability.

> *"As a Black femme, submission is my choice. It's not taken from me, it's offered."*
>
> *"Rope helps me feel my body again. Not in spite of trauma, but through it."*
>
> *"As a fat Black woman, being worshipped in a scene felt more sacred than any therapy session I've had."*

These reflections underscore that Therapeutic BDSM cannot be culturally neutral. Rather, its efficacy is often rooted in its ability to subvert systemic disempowerment through intentional power exchange. Participants also expressed the need for the model to move beyond trauma

treatment and affirm pleasure, play, and erotic possibility as part of the healing spectrum.

Theme 5: Structural Barriers to Access

Even among those enthusiastic about the model, participants named clear barriers that might prevent them or others from engaging: financial constraints, geographic inaccessibility, stigma, and lack of trained providers.

> *"This is powerful work, but it has to be affordable and accessible—not just for people in big cities or with disposable income."*

> *"Where would I even go? I've never seen anything like this near me."*

Participants also asked for integration resources beyond therapy, suggesting a broader network of community-based support, including educators and peer reflection spaces:

> *"I want to see more tools for people to process scenes outside of therapy, like community integration spaces or educator-led debriefs."*

This affirms the importance of both virtual access points and community-informed educator roles and peer support in scaling Therapeutic BDSM ethically and equitably.

Theme 6: Ethical Uncertainty and Dissenting Perspectives

While the overwhelming majority of participants expressed affirmation for the concept of Therapeutic BDSM and the desire for more structured, ethical approaches, a small but meaningful subset of respondents expressed discomfort, skepticism, or outright dissent.

Some questioned whether BDSM could ever be integrated into a therapeutic framework without diluting its essence, appropriating community-based practices, or creating new forms of institutional harm. Others shared concerns that the model might be misused or adopted too quickly by undertrained providers.

> *"I don't think you can turn kink into therapy without violating something sacred about it."*

> *"There's a danger in making this a credentialed practice. Some of the worst harm I've experienced came from people who were licensed."*

These perspectives, while not representative of the majority, reveal important fault lines of trust, both with institutions and with the idea of formalizing what has often been held as private, erotic, and community-bound.

Rather than treating these responses as peripheral, they offer a critical vantage point. They highlight the emotional and ethical weight of doing this work and serve as a reminder that Therapeutic BDSM must never be treated as a universal solution. Healing is not one-size-fits-all. Dissent is not resistance to growth, it is often a demand for deeper integrity.

Synthesis

Together, these findings affirm that Therapeutic BDSM is perceived not as a niche concept but as a timely and necessary evolution in trauma-informed, identity-conscious, and somatically grounded care. The quantitative data demonstrate a high level of interest in structured kink-informed healing, while the qualitative themes illuminate the complexity of implementing such a model across diverse roles and identities.

Importantly, the results also highlight a disconnect between perceived and actual understanding of what Therapeutic BDSM entails. While many participants resonated with the term and expressed initial familiarity, their responses often lacked awareness of the model's core elements: its grounding in the Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) framework, its tetralogical collaboration structure, and its emphasis on consent, ethics, and somatic preparation. This suggests the need for clearer public education and orientation as the model continues to gain visibility.

Ultimately, these findings point to a field in emergence; rich with potential, shaped by community insight, and ready for ethical infrastructure. Therapeutic BDSM and SRT offer one possible pathway forward: rooted in care, guided by complexity, and co-created by the very people it seeks to serve.

Discussion

The findings of this study affirm what many in kink, healing, and community care spaces have long understood intuitively: BDSM, when practiced ethically and with intention, holds substantial potential for therapeutic transformation. The widespread affirmation of BDSM's healing value, the strong interest in ethical structures and training, and the critical attention to identity-based nuance signal not only a readiness for Therapeutic BDSM as a model, but a need for it.

This discussion will explore what these findings reveal about the current state of kink and healing, how Therapeutic BDSM and Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) respond to identified gaps, and what ethical and structural considerations must be addressed to ensure the integrity and accessibility of this emerging field.

Reframing BDSM as Therapeutic: A Cultural and Clinical Turning Point

While BDSM has long been marginalized in psychological discourse, the data in this study reflect a cultural shift: community members, clinicians, and pro practitioners are no longer debating whether BDSM can be therapeutic. Instead, they are asking how it can be structured ethically, accessed equitably, and supported with care. This represents a significant departure from pathologizing frameworks that have historically associated BDSM with deviance, trauma reenactment, or clinical risk (Moser & Kleinplatz, 2007).

Importantly, participants expressed not just affirmation of BDSM's potential but deep reflections on its emotional, somatic, and relational power. These narratives challenge the assumption that therapeutic work must be verbal, neutral, or detached. In contrast, respondents framed BDSM as a deliberate encounter with vulnerability, power, sensation, and choice. A site where trauma can be rescripted through embodied engagement.

This aligns with broader trends in trauma treatment that emphasize bottom-up regulation, somatic processing, and relational repair (van der Kolk, 2014; Ogden et al., 2006). However, the findings also make clear that BDSM offers something many somatic models do not: the opportunity to ritualize power dynamics as part of healing, and to engage pleasure, risk, and surrender in ways that are not often sanctioned within traditional therapeutic paradigms.

Therapeutic BDSM and SRT: Meeting the Moment

Therapeutic BDSM, as articulated and practiced within the Self-Reconciliation Therapy (SRT) framework, offers a unique response to the needs identified in this study. Rather than framing BDSM as therapy itself, the model positions it as an intentional intervention, one used collaboratively with preparation and follow-up, and embedded within a larger ecosystem of trauma-informed, somatic, and identity-conscious care.

The development and implementation of Therapeutic BDSM is supported by the Kink Professional Standards Alliance (KPSA), a certifying and advocacy body committed to legitimizing kink-informed healing practices. KPSA serves as a structural home for professional training, ethics development, and community-centered research. One of its foundational contributions is the creation of PERK (Principles of Ethical Relational Kink), a working ethical framework designed to guide practitioners, educators, and clinicians engaging in kink-related interventions. PERK emphasizes consent, relational accountability, transparency, role clarity, and the centering of identity and power in healing relationships.

Participants overwhelmingly supported the idea of training, ethical guidelines, and professional standards, echoing the goals of KPSA and the PERK framework. They also voiced a desire for more clarity around roles, processes, and boundaries; needs directly addressed through the tetralogical model, which includes a kink-knowledgeable clinician, a trained pro practitioner, a kink educator, and the client as an empowered agent in their own healing.

SRT provides the clinical container for this work. It integrates nervous system education, shadow integration, somatic tracking, narrative rescripting, and identity-centered reflection, all of which support the safe application of Therapeutic BDSM scenes. Rather than seeing kink as inherently therapeutic, the model ensures that kink is used in service of the client's goals, within a supportive framework that prioritizes pacing, safety, and dignity.

Implications for Practice

The data underscore an urgent need for interdisciplinary training models that prepare providers to work across clinical, somatic, and kink-based roles. Respondents made clear that curiosity is not enough; ethical facilitation requires preparation. This includes:

- Understanding power dynamics in both therapeutic and BDSM contexts
- Navigating transference, countertransference, and boundary negotiation
- Addressing the intersections of trauma, culture, sexuality, and embodiment
- Creating referral and collaboration pathways across roles in the tetralogical team

The feedback also points to the potential danger of partial or superficial engagement

with BDSM in clinical spaces. Without proper training and community accountability, well-meaning clinicians may misinterpret kink dynamics, fail to assess readiness, or engage in scenes without understanding their full psychological and somatic implications.

By contrast, participants clearly valued collaboration across roles, and many saw this as key to the integrity of the work. The inclusion of kink educators and pro practitioners was not viewed as ancillary, but as essential. This signals a move away from clinician-centered models and toward shared ecosystems of care rooted in trust, transparency, and role clarity.

Honoring Dissent: Discomfort as Necessary Reflection

While the vast majority of participants affirmed the value, structure, and potential of Therapeutic BDSM, this work does not exist in unanimity. A small but important group voiced discomfort with integrating BDSM into therapeutic or clinical models at all. For some, BDSM is a spiritual, sacred, or community-rooted practice that they fear may be co-opted or sterilized through formalization. Others spoke from lived experiences of harm or institutional betrayal, raising concern that even with good intentions, therapists and practitioners may misuse power or replicate trauma.

These responses are not viewed as opposition, but as essential friction. They reflect valid concerns rooted in lived experience, and they challenge us to slow down, listen deeper, and stay accountable. This work is not for everyone. Therapeutic BDSM is not meant to become prescriptive, nor is it presented as the singular path to healing.

Rather, the inclusion of dissenting perspectives affirms the model's commitment to consent at every level, including the choice to opt out. Ethical care is not built on agreement, but on the freedom to engage or disengage without coercion, shame, or exclusion.

KPSA and the models it supports remain in active dialogue with these concerns. Through community-based education, iterative feedback loops, and accessible training grounded in humility, the goal is to build a model strong enough to hold room for critique, not to alienate those with concerns.

The Ongoing Work of Definition and Clarity

One of the most telling findings of the study was the disconnect between perceived and actual understanding of what Therapeutic BDSM entails. Many participants believed they were familiar with the concept, but further responses revealed that most were not acquainted with its structural, ethical, or somatic foundations. This suggests the need for clearer public education, consistent definitions, and accessible orientation materials.

As the model continues to evolve, the work of naming, refining, and disseminating Therapeutic BDSM is critical, not just for internal coherence, but for external legitimacy. That legitimacy, however, must not come at the cost of cultural nuance or community integrity. This is a model in emergence, responsive, adaptive, and grounded in collective wisdom.

The Void of Credentialing—and the Need for Collaborative Accountability

One of the most glaring structural gaps illuminated by this work is the absence of formal credentialing and collaborative infrastructure for kink/BDSM professional practitioners. When early conversations began around Therapeutic BDSM, it wasn't just clinicians who asked,

> "How do I know this pro is safe, skilled, and ready?"

It was also clients concerned about safety, and pros concerned about being undermined or co-opted. The truth was: no one knew.

Currently, there is no unified or recognized system for assessing a pro practitioner's trauma-informed readiness, somatic skill, or ethical boundaries. Collaboration between clinicians and pros is often left to chance, charisma, or personal trust, and rarely includes a shared language or training environment. This creates widespread uncertainty:

- Clients fear being mishandled, re-traumatized, or pathologized, sometimes by both parties.
- Pros fear that their embodied expertise will be dismissed, stepped over, or exploited by clinicians trained to lead from authority.
- Clinicians worry about legal liability, ethical breach, and being asked to work with practitioners whose boundaries, intentions, or methods they do not understand.

These tensions are not theoretical. They are active fault lines that remain in the field of Therapeutic BDSM.

And for those who live at multiple intersections; Black, brown, queer, disabled, or non-academic pros, the lack of formal recognition can render them invisible, despite years of practice and a profound understanding of power, body, and care. The absence of receipts doesn't reflect an absence of wisdom, it reflects an absence of infrastructure.

At the same time, a number of participants expressed caution, discomfort, or dissent about credentialing altogether. Some feared it would lead to:

- Gatekeeping rooted in white, Western, academic norms
- The erasure of grassroots practitioners
- The institutionalization of a practice born in the margins

As one participant wrote:

> *"Please don't let this become another thing where you need a license to do what you've already been doing in your community."*

This tension, between the need for accountability and the need to honor community sovereignty is a central aspect of the movement, and one not to be overlooked.

The Kink Professional Standards Alliance (KPSA) was created to hold that paradox, and to build a model that is both rigorous and responsive. KPSA does not seek to 'authorize' who is valid, it seeks to create:

- Trauma-informed, culturally responsive training for pro practitioners
- Shared ethical frameworks like the Principles of Ethical Relational Kink (PERK)
- Collaborative education spaces where clinicians, pros, educators, and clients all develop fluency in one another's roles

It is not just a credentialing body. It is an ecosystem of shared accountability. Top-down, role-specific, trauma-aware, and rooted in mutual respect.

Until such systems exist, the most vulnerable in the scene, often the clients, will continue to face harm. The most experienced pros will remain unrecognized and unsupported, and clinicians will remain siloed and unsure of how to collaborate without overstepping.

Therapeutic BDSM cannot function as a healing intervention without clarity, collaboration, and care across all roles. KPSA is one answer to make it safe,

sustainable, and accessible for everyone involved.

Future Directions

This research marks an early but significant step in understanding how Therapeutic BDSM is being received, desired, and conceptualized by those most likely to engage with it. The next stages of this work may include:

- Additional qualitative interviews or focus groups with clients, clinicians, and practitioners
- Longitudinal outcome studies for clients engaging in Therapeutic BDSM through the SRT framework
- Continued development and evaluation of ethics frameworks and training curricula (e.g., PERK)
- Expansion of accessibility through scholarships, multilingual materials, and trauma-informed outreach

There is also an opportunity to expand research beyond North American contexts and to explore how Therapeutic BDSM resonates across global, diasporic, and culturally specific frameworks of healing, ritual, and embodiment.

Conclusion

This study contributes to the growing body of scholarship and practice that challenges traditional assumptions about what constitutes legitimate healing. Through a community-informed exploration of Therapeutic BDSM, it brings to the surface what has long existed in the margins: that consensual, intentional, and structured BDSM practices can support trauma integration, identity reclamation, and embodied transformation; particularly when housed within collaborative, ethically grounded frameworks.

The findings reveal that while many individuals are already engaging with BDSM in ways that feel healing, there remains a deep and unmet need for clarity, safety, access, and professional support. Participants across roles affirmed that BDSM can be therapeutic, but only when facilitated with care, preparation, and accountability. They emphasized the importance of training, ethical guidelines, and identity-responsive practice, while also voicing concern about gatekeeping, inaccessibility, and the erasure of community knowledge.

Therapeutic BDSM, grounded in the Self-Reconciliation Therapy model, responds to these tensions by offering a structure that is both rigorous and relational, flexible and principled. With its tetralogical approach, it

acknowledges that no single practitioner can hold all dimensions of a client's healing, and that care must be co-created across clinical, somatic, educational, and experiential lines. By centering the client's agency, history, and readiness, the model invites a new vision of healing: one in which eroticism, power, vulnerability, and reclamation are honored instead of pathologized.

This work also invites the broader clinical and academic fields to reconsider their boundaries. The marginalization of sexology, the skepticism toward somatic and alternative modalities, and the historical pathologization of kink have created a landscape in which innovative models like Therapeutic BDSM must constantly justify their existence. Yet the data suggest that these models are not only viable, they are necessary. They reflect the realities of people whose healing does not fit neatly within talk therapy or diagnostic frameworks, and whose bodies carry histories that cannot be accessed through cognition alone.

What emerges from this research is a living ecosystem: one that requires ethical stewardship, cultural humility, and community collaboration. Therapeutic BDSM is not a replacement for therapy, nor a shortcut to healing, but it is a portal, a container, and a pathway for those who are ready to enter it with intention. The work ahead involves expanding access, refining frameworks, and continuing to listen to the body, to the community, and to the wisdom that has always existed beyond the clinical gaze.

As kink-informed healing continues to evolve, this article offers both documentation and declaration: of what is already unfolding, and of what becomes possible when care, power, and liberation converge.

Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

References

American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed., text rev.). Washington, DC: Author.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Bauer, R. (2016*). Queer BDSM intimacies: Critical consent and pushing boundaries. Palgrave Macmillan*.

Bullough, V. L. (1994). *Science in the bedroom: A history of sex research. Basic Books.*

Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment (2nd ed.). Routledge.*

Fanon, F. (1967). *Black skin, white masks* (C. L. Markmann, Trans.). *Grove Press*. (Original work published 1952)

Feder, E. K. (2014). Power, passion, and passive resistance: Reinterpreting Foucault for feminist theory. *Hypatia, 19*(2), 192–211.

Fennell, D. (2022). "When we say kink is for everyone, we have to mean it": Consent, care, and accountability in contemporary BDSM communities. *Journal of Gender Studies, 31*(1), 78–90.

Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality: Volume 1* (R. Hurley, Trans.). *Pantheon Books.*

Freud, S. (1962). *Three essays on the theory of sexuality* (J. Strachey, Trans.). *Basic Books*. (Original work published 1905)

Grosz, E. (1994). *Volatile bodies: Toward a corporeal feminism. Indiana University Press.*

Hall, B. L., Tandon, R., & Tremblay, C. (2012). *Strengthening community university research partnerships: Global perspectives. University of Victoria Press.*

Harrington, C., & Williams, K. (2012). *True confessions: Feminist professors and dirty work in academia. Routledge.*

Henkin, W. A., & Holiday, S. (2010). *The therapist's guide to consensual BDSM: Safe, sane, and consensual practices. Daedalus Publishing.*

Hinderliter, A. C. (2009). Defining BDSM: A lexicon of practitioners' terms. *Journal of Homosexuality, 56*(8), 721–740.

Holvoet, L., Huys, W., Coppens, V., Dewaele, A., Van Hove, G., & Buysse, A. (2017). Fifty shades of Belgian gray: The prevalence of BDSM-related fantasies and activities in the general population. *The Journal of Sex Research, 54*(7), 835–851.

Irvine, J. M. (2005). *Disorders of desire: Sexuality and gender in modern American sexology (2nd ed.). Temple University Press.*

Kinsey, A. C., Pomeroy, W. B., & Martin, C. E. (1948). *Sexual behavior in the human male. W. B. Saunders*.

Kinsey, A. C., Pomeroy, W. B., Martin, C. E., & Gebhard, P. H. (1953). Sexual behavior in the human female. W. B. Saunders.

Kolmes, K., Stock, W., & Moser, C. (2006). Investigating bias in psychotherapy with BDSM clients. *Journal of Homosexuality, 50*(2–3), 301–324.

Levine, P. A. (1997). *Waking the tiger: Healing trauma. North Atlantic Books*.

Lorde, A. (1984). *Sister outsider: Essays and speeches. Crossing Press*.

McClintock, A. (1995). *Imperial leather: Race, gender, and sexuality in the colonial context. Routledge.*

Minkler, M., & Wallerstein, N. (Eds.). (2008). *Community-based participatory research for health: From process to outcomes (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.*

Moser, C., & Kleinplatz, P. J. (2007). DSM-IV-TR and the paraphilias: An argument for removal. *Journal of Psychology & Human Sexuality, 18*(3), 43–62.

Nichols, M. (2006). Psychotherapeutic issues with "kinky" clients: Clinical problems, yours and theirs. *Journal of Homosexuality, 50*(2–3), 281–300.

Ogden, P., Minton, K., & Pain, C. (2006). *Trauma and the body: A sensorimotor approach to psychotherapy. W. W. Norton & Company.*

Ortmann, D., & Sprott, J. (2012). *The ethical slut's guide to sexual exploration. Daedalus Publishing.*

Porges, S. W. (2011). *The polyvagal theory: Neurophysiological foundations of emotions, attachment, communication, and self-regulation. W. W. Norton & Company.*

Pyle, A., & Klein, A. (2021). Beyond the dungeon: Black kink, embodiment, and healing in everyday life. *Sexualities, 24*(1–2), 109–126.

Queen, C., & Schimel, L. (Eds.). (1997). *Pomosexuals: Challenging assumptions about gender and sexuality. Cleis Press.*

Rubin, G. (1984). *Thinking sex: Notes for a radical theory of the politics of sexuality. In C. Vance (Ed.), Pleasure and danger: Exploring female sexuality* (pp. 267–319). *Routledge*.

Sagarin, B. J., Cutler, B., Cutler, N., Lawler-Sagarin, K. A., & Matuszewich, L. (2009). Hormonal changes and couple bonding in consensual sadomasochistic activity. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 38*(2), 186–200.

Scarry, E. (1985). *The body in pain: The making and unmaking of the world. Oxford University Press*.

Sprankle, E., Neal, C., & Levand, L. (2018). Socio-political and identity correlates of sexual desire and BDSM interest. *Psychology & Sexuality, 9*(4), 323–336.

Taylor, G. W., & Ussher, J. M. (2001). Making sense of S&M: A discourse analytic account. *Sexualities, 4*(3), 293–314.

Tiefer, L. (1995). *Sex is not a natural act and other essays. Westview Press.*

Tiefer, L. (2004). *Sex is not a natural act and other essays* (2nd ed.). *Westview Press.*

Tuhiwai Smith, L. (1999). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and Indigenous peoples. Zed Books.*

Weeks, J. (1985). *Sexuality and its discontents: Meanings, myths and modern sexualities. Routledge.*

Weiss, M. D. (2011). *Techniques of pleasure: BDSM and the circuits of sexuality. Duke University Press*.

Williams, D. J. (2014). Sexual freedom and the BDSM community: Issues of race, politics, and power. *The Journal of Positive Sexuality, 1*(1), 17–22.

Wismeijer, A. A., & van Assen, M. A. (2013). Psychological characteristics of BDSM practitioners. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine, 10*(8), 1943–1952.

van der Kolk, B. A. (2014). *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma. Viking*.